



Delhi Development Authority
Personnel Branch-V, Room No. B-709,
Vikas Sadan, INA New Delhi-110023

F3(01)2020/PB-V/Misc./DDA/155

Date: 13.08.2026

To

The Director (System)
B-Block, 1st floor, Vikas Sadan,
New Delhi-110023.

Sub:- Display list of provisionally eligible candidates and ineligible/ rejected candidates for appointment on compassionate ground as Considered by the Appellate Committee.

Please find enclosed herewith lists of provisionally eligible candidates and ineligible/ rejected candidates for appointment on compassionate ground notified vide notice no F3(01)2020/PB-V/Misc./DDA/68 dated 30.05.2025. You are requested to arrange for display the list on DDA website.

This issues with the approval of Competent Authority.

Encl: No. F3(01)2020/PB-V/Misc./DDA/154 dated 13.08.2026 alongwith 3 annexures

Adh
13/8/26

Director (P)-II



Delhi Development Authority
Personnel Branch-V, Room No. B-709,
Vikas Sadan, INA New Delhi-110023

F3(01)2020/PB-V/Misc./DDA/154

Date: 13.08.2026

DDA issued Notice No. F3(01)2020/PB-V/Misc./DDA/68 dated 30.05.2025 inviting revised affidavits/applications from eligible dependents of deceased DDA employees and employees retired on medical grounds for consideration against 93 vacancies available up to 31.12.2024 under the 5% compassionate appointment quota for the posts of MTS and Mali.

2. In reference to Notice No. F3(01)2020/PB-V/Misc./DDA/110 dated 02.06.2026, total 206 appeal were received upto 12.06.2026 against the Committee decision dated 01.06.2026 for the appointment under the 5% compassionate appointment quota for the posts of MTS and Mali.

3. The appeals received within the prescribed time period were examined and classified as under:

a) List 1:- Provisionally Selected Cases (Zone of Consideration)

Consists of candidates placed in the Zone of Consideration (07 cases requiring normal document verification and 03 cases subject to specific document verification). Their candidature shall remain provisional and subject to normal document verification/subject to specific verification of the documents, eligibility under the, medical fitness, character and antecedents verification, and fulfilment of all other prescribed formalities: **Their list is annexed as Annexure 1.**

b) List 2 – Ineligible/ Rejected cases - The appeals of the following candidates have been found ineligible/rejected. These cases do not fall within the Zone of Consideration and have not been awarded marks under the MoHUA 100-point formula. Further, the 01 applications received after the due date (upto 12.06.2026) was not included in scrutiny and were treated as rejected/time-barred in terms of the Notice dated 02.06.2026. **Their list is annexed as Annexure 2.**

The document verification shall be held on **21.08.2026 (Friday)** at Nagrik Suvidha Kendra, D- Block, ground floor, Vikas Sadan, Delhi Development Authority, INA, New Delhi – 110023. The list of documents required for document verification is enclosed as **Annexure-3**. The specific documents required in respect of the 03 cases requiring specific verification are also indicated therein.

4. It is hereby clarified that **List 1 is only for the purpose of further processing of provisionally eligible cases against the 93 available vacancies** and shall not be construed as a final merit list, waiting list, select list, or order of appointment. The final list for issuance of offers of appointment against the 93 available vacancies shall thereafter be prepared **in order of merit** from amongst the candidates who are found eligible after completion of document verification and fulfilment of all other prescribed conditions, including medical fitness, verification of character and antecedents, compliance with the applicable Recruitment Rules, and such other requirements as may be applicable. For this purpose, the candidates from the earlier applications who are already in the Zone of Consideration shall also be clubbed/combined with the candidates covered under the present scrutiny, and the final merit shall be determined accordingly, subject to their continued eligibility and successful verification of the requisite documents. No candidate shall be permitted, at the stage of document verification, to introduce any fresh claim, modify the basis of the original claim, or furnish material intended to improve his/her position in the merit process beyond verification of the declarations/documents submitted within the prescribed time. In case, at any stage of scrutiny or verification, it is found that a candidate has furnished false or incorrect information, suppressed any material fact, submitted fabricated or otherwise invalid documents, failed to produce the requisite documents, or that a fair and objective assessment of the compassionate appointment claim cannot be made, the candidature/application shall be liable to be rejected without any further claim or entitlement arising therefrom.

This issues with the approval of Competent Authority.

13/1/81
1318126
(Deepanker Gupta)
Director (P)-II

Observations of the Appellate Committee (Consideraton Zone)						
S. No.	RAF	Name of Applicant	Name of Deceased and Designation	DOB of Applicant // Category	Representation received from the applicant	Appellate Committee has accepted the case on the following grounds
1	415	Anuj Kumar	Late Shri Rakesh Singh Mali	03/09/1994 // UR	In the appeal, the applicant states that there was a typographical error in Point No. 9 of the affidavit, wherein the property value was incorrectly mentioned as ₹1.5 lakh instead of ₹15 lakh. The applicant further states that the total value of movable and immovable assets was correctly declared as ₹15,03,000 in Point No. 15 of the affidavit.	The Appellate Committee, after due deliberation, accepted the appeal dated 05.06.2026 as the aggregate of the financial particulars amounted to ₹15,03,000/-.
2	500	Deepak Kumar	Late Shri Devraj Singh Wireman	25/07/1987 // SC	In the appeal, the applicant states that the Nil bank balance was declared truthfully and in good faith. A bank statement has been enclosed showing a Nil balance as on 31.05.2025.	The Appellate Committee, after due deliberation, accept the bank statement submitted along with the appeal dated 10.06.2026 which showing Nil bank balance as on 31.05.2025
3	162	Mustaq Ali	Late Shri Mohd. Nuruddin S/G	19/09/1988 // UR	In the appeal, the applicant states that his brother, Shri Ishtyaq Alam, expired on 03.09.2022. The death certificate has now been enclosed which was not attached at the time of submission of the affidavit contrary to the claim made by the applicant.	The appellate Committee, after due deliberation, accept the death certificate of his brother Shri Ishtyaq Alam submitted by the applicant with appeal dated 04.06.2026
4	166	Nahar Singh	Late Shri Kanhiya Lal Mali	02/07/1986 // SC	In the appeal, the applicant states that no information was concealed and no false declaration was made. An online bank statement showing a zero balance as on 31.05.2025 has been enclosed.	The Appellate Committee, after due deliberation, accept the bank statement submitted along with the appeal dated 10.06.2026 which showing Nil bank balance as on 31.05.2025

5	4	Pradeep Kumar	Late Shri Narender Kumar Mate W/C(R)	24/06/1988 // SC	In the appeal, the applicant states that his mother expired on 27.03.2025 and that the matter was reported to Sr. AO (Pension) on 29.01.2026. Sr. AO (Pension-II) subsequently wrote to the Bank Manager on 02.06.2026 for stoppage of pension and recovery of pension paid after the death of Smt. Veena Devi.	The Appellate Committee, after due deliberation, accept the letter dated 02.02.2026 written by Sr. A.O. (Pension) to the Bank Manager to stoppage of pension and recovery of pension paid after the death of Smt.Veena Devi w/o Late Shri Narender Kumar, if any, as submitted by applicant along with the appeal dated 04.06.2026 which showing widow expired on 27.03.2025.
6	130	Sandeep	Late Shri Bishan Singh Mali	10/05/1991 // UR	In the appeal, the applicant states that the property at Lado Sarai belongs to his uncle and that he resides there as a guest without paying any rent. Property papers for the property have been submitted. The details of the property at Gurugram had already been disclosed and submitted. The applicant further states that his mother expired on 28.08.2025 and death certificate has been attached.	The Appellate Committee, after due deliberation accept the appeal dated 10.06.2026 as GPA/ATS of Lado Sarai property belongs to other person subject to submission of other supporting documents such as Electriciy Bill, Water Bill, Aadhar Card of Owner, Gas Connection etc for verification.
7	229	Saviti	Late Shri Harpal Singh Mali	10/07/1997 // SC	In the appeal, the applicant states that Shri Pradeep Kumar had expired and that, due to lack of knowledge, the related death documents were not submitted, resulting in the non-submission of the NOC. The cremation receipt has now been enclosed along with an affidavit stating the same.	The appellate Committee, after due deliberation accept the appeal dated 10.06.2026 as Shri Pradeep Kumar legal heir (Son) of deceased employee who expired on 25.08.2024 subject to submission of death certificate.
8	420	Udit Sharma	Late Shri Virendra Kumar Sharma UDC	03/12/1991 // UR	In the appeal, the applicant states that his mother expired on 18.04.2024. Sr. AO (Pension-II) subsequently wrote to the Bank Manager on 04.06.2026 for stoppage of pension and recovery of pension paid after the death of Smt. Mamta Sharma.	The Appellate Committee, after due deliberation, accept the letter dated 04.06.2026 written by Sr. A.O. (Pension) to the Bank Manager to stoppage of pension and recovery of pension paid after the death of Smt. Mamta Sharma widow of Late Shri Virendra Kumar Sharma, if any, as submitted along with the appeal dated 04.06.2026 which showing widow expired on 04.06.2024.

9	69	Vijay Singh	Late Shri Swaraj Singh Garden Supervisor	28/08/1988 // UR	The applicant submits that he resided as a tenant at C-2/300, Nand Nagri, Delhi-93, around eighteen years ago, and his Aadhaar Card was issued at that address. He states that the address was mistakenly mentioned in the 2025 affidavit due to a typographical error. The applicant clarifies that the jhuggi at the said location belongs to Ganga Prasad, and a copy of the demolition slip has been enclosed in support. He further states that he is presently residing at House No. C-1/245, Nand Nagri, Delhi-93, and has enclosed a copy of the Rent Agreement. The applicant submits that there was no intention to conceal facts or furnish misleading information.	The appellate commiittee accept the appeal dated 11.06.2026 and 18.06.2026 as the property C-2/300 Nand Nagri, Delhi-93 belongs to other person subject to submission of other supporting documents such as Electriciy Bill, Water Bill, Aadhar Card of Owner, Gas Connection etc for verification.
10	200	Vikas	Late Shri Indra Pal Khallasi	18/08/1993 // SC	In the appeal, the applicant states that pension was discontinued upon his sister Ms. Sweety attaining the age of 25 years on 31.05.2024. A bank certificate has been enclosed in support of the claim with the appeal application.	The Appellate Committee accept the letter dated 03.08.2026 written by Dy. CAO (Pension) to Dy. Director(P)-V vide which it was stated that No family pension has been disbursed from 01.06.2024 to till and accept the appeal dated 08.06.2026 filled by applicant.

Sd/-

Observations of the Appellate Committee (Rejected Zone)						
S. No.	RAF	Name of Deceased/ Designation	Name of Applicant	DOB of Applicant // Category	Representation received from the applicant	Appellate Committee has rejected the case on the following grounds
1	335	Late Shri Attar Singh Mali	Aakash	29/12/1997 // UR	In the appeal, the applicant has stated that the balance in his bank account was ₹6,726 as on 31.05.2025, as reflected in the enclosed bank statement.	The Appellate Committee, after due consideration of the grounds presented in the appeal application, is not satisfied with the justification provided therein. Accordingly, the Committee has decided to uphold the decision of the Screening Committee. Therefore, the application shall continue to stand rejected.
2	195	Late Shri Matiullah S/G	Aamir Ansari	01/01/1994 // OBC	The applicant states that there was a typographical error regarding the property valuation. He intended to mention the valuation as ₹14 lakh-₹20 lakh instead of ₹4 lakh.	-do-
3	88	Late Shri Naresh Nagpal Mali	Aarti Nagpal	04/08/1997 // UR	The applicant states that the property is owned by Smt. Sudarshan Kumari, who holds a 100% share therein. A current house tax receipt has been enclosed. The applicant further requests either re-verification by the Welfare Inspector or additional time to submit supporting documents if the enclosed documents are found insufficient.	-do-
4	383	Late Shri Duli Chand Pump Operator	Aditi	09/04/1998 // UR	In the appeal, the applicant states that the discrepancy was an inadvertent error and that the bank balance as on 31.05.2025 was ₹109.59, which is a nominal amount.	-do-
5	412	Late Shri Hari Shankar Mali	Ajay	01/07/1992 // SC	In the appeal, the applicant states that due to technical ignorance and incomplete understanding of the guidelines, the bank balance was mistakenly declared as Nil. Attached bank statement shows a bank balance of ₹117/- as on 31.05.2025.	-do-

6	390	Late Shri Har Prasad Singh S/G	Akash Saini	19/06/1995 // OBC	The applicant states that the property value of ₹3,00,000 mentioned in the affidavit is a typographical error and that the actual value of the property is ₹30,00,000.	-do-
7	183	Late Shri Jai Pal Mate	Amit Kumar	22/12/1982 // SC	The applicant states that his sister's marital status was incorrectly recorded as unmarried due to a human error. He says that he had submitted her Aadhaar Card, which clearly reflects her status as the wife of Neeraj Kumar (W/O Neeraj Kumar). The discrepancy relating to the property details is also attributed to an inadvertent human error.	-do-
8	387	Late Shri Madan Lal Khallasi	Amit Kumar	28/12/1980 // SC	In the appeal, the applicant requests that the case be considered on humanitarian grounds.	-do-
9	230	Late Shri Hari Ram Mali	Amit Tomar	10/04/2000 // UR	The applicant states that the property is divided into three shares belonging to his mother, brother, and himself. He has accordingly declared the value of his notional share as ₹3,00,000.	-do-
10	297	Late Shri Mohd. Naim S/G	Amreen	16/04/1998 // UR	In the appeal, the applicant states that Property No. 195, Gali No. 4, Jyoti Nagar, Rishi Kardampuri, was sold for ₹26,80,000. The applicant is presently residing in rented accommodation at a monthly rent of ₹100 with a security deposit of ₹5,00,000. It is further stated that the landlord did not provide a rent receipt.	-do-
11	434	Late Shri Triveni Prasad Mali	Anil Kumar	02/04/1987 // SC	The applicant states that the property value decreased from ₹5,00,000 in 2019 to ₹3,00,000 in 2025 because a portion of the property was sold during the intervening period. Only aadhar card has been submitted with the appeal application.	-do-

12	178	Late Shri Moli S/G	Anish	03/04/1994 // UR	The applicant states that his mother, Smt. Noor Nisha, who was receiving family pension, expired on 23.03.2021. The concerned bank was informed regarding discontinuation of the pension, and supporting documents have been enclosed. Accordingly, the observation that the family is presently receiving a family pension of Rs. 9,000 per month is incorrect, as the pension ceased upon the death of his mother. A copy of the letter informing the Bank about the death of the pensioner has also been attached with the appeal. No justification of the rented property related rejection reason has been mentioned.	-do-
13	188	Late Shri Surender Kumar Beldar	Anit	16/06/1999 // OBC	In the appeal, the applicant states that H. No. 12 and H. No. 26 are of the same property. He has also submitted affidavit and supporting documents validating his claim in the appeal.	-do-
14	483	Late Shri Raj Kumar Asstt. Director	Anju	30/06/1969 // SC	In the appeal, the applicant states that Property No. 7/137 is jointly owned by her husband and is presently being used by her son due to a family dispute. The applicant further states that she is willing to resubmit the rent agreement and rent receipt.	-do-
15	199	Late Shri Brij Mohan Beldar	Arun Kumar Tyagi	21/01/1982 // UR	The applicant requests reconsideration of the rejection based on age criteria. It is submitted that the delay in the recruitment process may be treated as a valid ground for granting age relaxation.	-do-
16	127	Late Shri Anuj Atri Asst. Director	Ashish Atri	28/06/1987 // UR	In the appeal, the applicant states that the property value of ₹6,30,000 was mentioned due to a typographical error and that the correct value is ₹16,30,000. The applicant further clarifies that no fixed deposit of ₹40,00,000 exists.	-do-
17	265	Late Shri Lala Beldar	Ashok	13/06/1988 // SC	In the appeal, the applicant requests compassionate appointment and has enclosed an affidavit stating that Late Shri Lala sold his share of the property during the COVID period. An affidavit regarding the date of birth has also been submitted with the appeal claiming that the DoB mentioned on his 10th marksheet and aadhar card is correct.	-do-

18	208	Late Shri Bane Singh Mali	Ashok Kumar	15/04/1995 // OBC	In the appeal, the applicant states that the omission occurred due to lack of adequate knowledge and awareness regarding the prescribed procedures and documentation requirements. The attached bank statement shows Nil balance as on 31.05.2025. Affidavits regarding residential property status, agricultural property status, and bank statement have been attached with the appeal.	-do-
19	325	Late Shri Hukam Singh Beldar	Ashu Gusain	20/07/1986 // UR	In the appeal, the applicant states that the rent agreement had already been submitted and is now submitting the rent receipt while requesting sympathetic consideration.	-do-
20	20	Late Shri Om Prakash Singh Mate	Avinash Tomar	05/08/1993 // UR	In the appeal, the applicant states that the property was divided into three shares by his mother and that only his share was reflected in the revised affidavit, while the shares of his two brothers were not included.	-do-
21	403	Late Shri Nanak Chand S/G	Balkishan	15/10/1992 // SC	In the appeal, the applicant has stated that the enclosed bank statement reflects a balance of ₹12,696.50 as on 31.05.2025 and has requested reconsideration of his case.	-do-
22	270	Late Shri Jasvant Singh Beldar	Beer Singh	12/07/1996 // SC	In the appeal, the applicant states that the declaration of Nil bank balance resulted from a misunderstanding. The relevant bank statement has now been enclosed. Attached bank statement shows a bank balance of ₹1,700/- as on 31.05.2025.	-do-
23	185	Late Shri Ramesh Chand Beldar	Bhagwan Singh	04/01/1991 // SC	In the appeal, the applicant states that although the bank account contained only ₹349 as on 31.05.2025 and the balance was mistakenly declared as Nil. An online copy of the bank statement has been enclosed in the appeal.	-do-
24	376	Late Shri Moti Ram Mate	Bharat	12/04/1983 // SC	In the appeal, the applicant states that the discrepancy arose due to a bona fide misunderstanding and was not motivated by any mala fide intent or concealment. An online bank statement reflecting a balance of ₹20,082 as on 31.05.2025 has been enclosed.	-do-

25	5	Late Shri Nathey Ram Mali W/C(R)	Bhushan	16/09/1984 // SC	In the appeal, the applicant states that there was a typographical error regarding ownership of a motor car. The applicant further states that the house may be inspected for verification.	-do-
26	2	Late Shri Trilok Chand Peon	Bimla	11/10/1969 // SC	In the appeal, the applicant states that she never submitted any affidavit declaring ownership of a motor car/automobile and requests verification of the record.	-do-
27	391	Late Shri Babu Ram Mali	Brijesh	03/12/1987 // SC	The applicant submits that the amount of ₹3,00,000 was entered due to a typographical error and requests that the property value be read as ₹5,50,000.	-do-
28	504	Late Shri Gopal Mali	Chander Pal	09/11/1984 // OBC	The applicant submits that the bank balance was inadvertently declared as Nil. A bank statement has now been furnished showing a balance of ₹39,766 as on 31.05.2025. He further states that there are three brothers and that the declared value of ₹4.5 lakh represents only his share in the house.	-do-
29	454	Late Shri Moman Singh Ex. Suerintendent	Charan Singh	14/03/1970 // SC	Over Age 48 years In the appeal it is stated by the applicant that his correct D.O.B is 14.03.1977. In the appeal, the applicant has requested that his case be considered despite being over the prescribed age limit.	-do-
30	205	Late Shri Surender Singh S/G	Dalbir Singh Kataria	28/01/1989 // SC	In the appeal, the applicant states that the bank balance was declared as Nil. The applicant has now enclosed a bank statement covering the period from December 2025 to 04.06.2026 which does not include the crucial date of 31.05.2025. It is further stated that the old ward number was 8 and the new ward number is 5, though no property value was mentioned.	-do-
31	266	Late Shri Bhai Lal S/G	Dalip Kumar	20/07/1987 // OBC	The applicant states that due to a typographical error, the property value was mentioned as ₹4.5 lakh instead of ₹14.5 lakh. He submits that there was no intention to conceal information. Copies of the affidavit and an indemnity bond regarding the correct property value have been enclosed.	-do-
32	235	Late Shri Gyan Chand Khallasi	Darshana	05/08/1989 // UR	The applicant states that, due to difficulty in understanding the documents, her pension details were inadvertently omitted and requests that the error be treated as a genuine mistake.	-do-

33	311	Late Shri Hanuman Beldar	Daulat	17/04/1985 // SC	In the appeal, the applicant states that there was no intention to conceal facts or furnish incorrect information. Although the bank balance was declared as Nil, the balance reflected in the bank statement was ₹5.56 as on 16.08.2025. Bank statement attached with the appeal shows a bank balance of ₹2,574/- as on 31.05.2025.	-do-
34	490	Late Shri Om Prakash Mate	Deepak Choudhary	13/09/1988 // SC	In the appeal, the applicant states that the landlord's signature on the rent slip was inadvertently omitted.	-do-
35	174	Late Shri Bishamber Singh Beldar	Deepak Kumar	12/12/1989 // SC	The applicant states that in the 2019 affidavit, one property was valued at ₹1.8 lakh and another property purchased in his mother's name was valued at ₹1.6 lakh. Due to a typing error while preparing the 2025 affidavit, the value was incorrectly recorded as ₹1.5 lakh. He clarifies that the correct combined value of both properties is ₹3.4 lakh. Property documents and circle rate details have been enclosed in the appeal, and reconsideration has been requested.	-do-
36	479	Late Shri Jai Prakash Singh S/G	Deepak Singh	16/05/1993 // UR	In the appeal, the applicant has stated that the absence of the witness's signature is a minor deficiency. He has further stated that the declaration of NIL bank balance was a typographical error, whereas the bank statement reflects a balance of ₹1,165.87 as on 31.05.2025.	-do-
37	252	Late Shri Dayanand Peon	Deepak Yadav	04/01/1991 // OBC	In the appeal, the applicant has submitted that the bank balance as on 31.05.2025 was ₹7,077.85. Copies of the bank statements for the period March 2025 to May 2025 have been enclosed in support of the submission. The applicant has requested that the same be considered while reviewing the case.	-do-

38	485	Late Smt. Neelam Khurana PS	Deepali Khurana	13/11/1994 // UR	The applicant states that an inadvertent clerical error occurred while declaring the value of the property in the affidavit. The correct property value is Rs. 20 lakh. The applicant further submits that cash-in-hand as on 31.05.2025 was approximately Rs. 4,000–5,000, and its omission was purely accidental with no intention to suppress facts. The correct bank balance as on 31.05.2025 was Rs. 36,826/-, and a supporting bank statement has been enclosed. The discrepancy arose solely due to a clerical mistake.	-do-
39	146	Late Shri Rambir Mali	Devendra Pal	01/07/1984 // OBC	The applicant submits that the property valuation reflects only his share, as there are three brothers. He further states that his mother expired on 21.05.2026.	-do-
40	283	Late Shri Jai Chand Beldar	Dharmendra	10/05/1993 // OBC	In the appeal, the applicant states that only his share of ₹12,50,000 was mentioned in the affidavit and that his brother's share of ₹12,50,000 was not included.	-do-
41	155	Late Shri Dhan Singh Mali	Durgesh Pal	14/06/1994 // OBC	The applicant states that a fresh affidavit, duly signed and verified, has now been re-submitted.	-do-
42	369	Late Shri Sahaj Ram Tiwari Dy. Dir.	Gagan Tiwari	03/03/1992 // UR	In the appeal, the applicant states that there was no intention to conceal facts or mislead the authorities. The applicant is working as a Data Entry Operator through an outsourced agency and is neither a permanent nor regular employee.	-do-
43	153	Late Shri Sedu Ram Mali	Ganga Sahai	04/04/1982 // SC	In the appeal, the applicant states that the property in Rajasthan stands in the name of Mafi Mandir Shri Hanuman Ji Sa-Chandi Ki Taksal, Jaipur. Relevant Jamabandi records from the concerned Tehsil have been enclosed with the appeal. Although, no clarification has been given regarding the 25 sq yard property in native place.	-do-

44	385	Late Shri Bharat Singh S/G	Gaurav Nagar	10/05/1991 // OBC	The applicant states that his mother is illiterate, which resulted in an error and mismatch in the recorded date of birth details. He has submitted fresh affidavit in which he has ommitted the names of the adopted daughters from the list of dependants. He has also submitted fresh adoption deed with signature of witness. He is requesting the appellate committee to consider either of these documents.	-do-
45	165	Late Shri Bijender Chowkidar W/C	Gourav Kumar	09/12/1991 // OBC	The applicant states that he resides as a tenant with his relatives, Sh. Yogender Singh, at their second house bearing No. 6/307, while their primary residence is at 5/264.	-do-
46	348	Late Shri Suresh Kumar Pump Operator	Gulshan Kumar	18/09/1991 // SC	In the appeal, the applicant has stated that the bank balance was inadvertently declared as Nil. The applicant has now submitted a bank statement, which reflects a balance of ₹31,237 as on 31.05.2025. The applicant has further submitted that, during the process of pursuing the PPO in 2019, he inadvertently omitted the names of his younger brother, sister, and grandmother while preparing the affidavit.	-do-
47	95	Late Shri Ramesh Chand Pandey S/G	Hanuman Pandey	01/03/1994 // UR	The applicant states that he has been residing in rented accommodation since 2019. The property standing in his mother's name had an approximate value of Rs. 5 lakh in 2019; however, it was incorrectly recorded as Rs. 5.5 lakh in the communication issued by DDA. In 2021, the said property was transferred by his mother to his sister through a family settlement (copy enclosed). The applicant further submits that the family pension is shared with him. Since he does not possess any property of his own, he correctly reported his residential status as residing on rent in 2025.	-do-
48	278	Late Shri Yasin Mali	Happy	21/09/1993 // OBC	In the appeal, the applicant has stated that the property share value of ₹3 lakh was inadvertently declared. He has also stated that his brother is currently unemployed, although he was previously employed at a medical shop.	-do-

49	210	Late Shri Rajender Singh Peon	Hari Prakash	04/12/1990 // OBC	In the appeal, the applicant states that the declaration of Nil bank balance was inadvertent. A bank statement has now been furnished showing a balance of ₹5,718.17 as on 31.05.2025. The applicant further states that his brother, Shri Jaibeer/Jaiveer, expired on 04.08.2017, and the death certificate has now been submitted.	-do-
50	132	Late Shri Satbir Singh Beldar	Harish Kumar	16/11/1989 // SC	In the appeal, the applicant states that the bank balance was mistakenly declared as Nil and requests sympathetic consideration in view of the family's critical circumstances. Attached bank statement shows a bank balance of ₹2,361.74/- as on 31.05.2025.	-do-
51	421	Late Shri Bhuwan Chandra Mathpal UDC	Hemant Mathpal	17/03/1985 // UR	The applicant has requested grant of further age relaxation and seeks reconsideration of his candidature on this ground.	-do-
52	365	Late Shri Veer Pal Handa Photographic Officer	Hitesh Handa	28/11/1999 // SC	The appeal was received on 18/06/2026, after the due date. The applicant states that the delay was due to his grandmother's illness and his responsibility for her care. He explains that his grandmother has been living with him since 2022 due to family disputes and clarifies that this was mentioned only to indicate her residence with him, not that she was financially dependent on him. The applicant also states that, based on legal advice received, a witness's signature is not a legal requirement for an agreement in circumstances where the parties to the agreement are able to attest to its execution.	-do-

53	324	Late Shri Ram Prakash S/G	Jaiwati	10/12/1968 // UR	In the appeal, the applicant states that N-104/124, Jhuggi CD Park, Jahangir Puri, Delhi-33, was a temporary structure that was demolished during a government drive. However, no demolition notice is available. The applicant is presently residing at K-711, Jahangir Puri, Delhi, on rent. No document except aadhar cards has been submitted with the appeal application.	-do-
54	498	Late Shri Subhash Mali	Jitender Kumar	18/06/1985 // SC	In the appeal, the applicant states that there was no intention to conceal information and submits that the bank account balance was ₹188 as on 31.05.2025. A copy of the statement has been enclosed.	-do-
55	149	Late Shri Jugat Lal Yadav S/G	Jitender yadav	05/06/2000 // UR	In the appeal, the applicant states that he is unmarried and that the incorrect declaration was unintentional, with no intention to provide false information. No new document except appeal application has been submitted.	-do-
56	220	Late Shri Phool Singh S/G	Jitendra Singh	16/02/1996 // sc	The applicant states that the property in question has not been sold. He submits that the receipt relied upon pertains to a rental arrangement at ₹7,000 per month. A duly signed rent agreement has again been enclosed in support of his claim.	-do-
57	367	Late Shri Om Prakash Mali	Johny	26/11/1982 // SC	The applicant states that family members Shri Naresh Kumar, Smt. Savitri Devi, and Shri Umesh Kumar were unavailable to provide NOCs at the time of affidavit submission. He has now submitted all the required NOCs.	-do-
58	364	Late Shri Raj Kumar Beldar	Kanchan	28/01/1991 // SC	The applicant submits that she is the divorced daughter of her parents and resides alone. Due to disputes between her mother and uncle, the family had been living in rented accommodation. She further states that frequent conflicts and an adverse environment created by family members and neighbours made it difficult to reside in the ancestral house measuring approximately 13-14 square yards.	-do-

59	422	Late Shri R. Mugam Mali	Kanda Swami	01/01/1991 // OBC	The applicant clarifies that he is not employed with Nitcon Ltd. He further states that the landlord does not issue rent receipts for the premises where he resides. A copy of his bank statement has been enclosed from March 2026 to June 2026.	-do-
60	488	Late Shri Bhikam Singh yadav	Kapil Yadav	21/01/1994 // OBC	The applicant submits that the bank balance was inadvertently declared as Nil. A bank statement has now been furnished showing a balance of ₹1,547 as on 31.05.2025. He further states that the property value has been declared at ₹38,34,600 in accordance with the applicable circle rate.	-do-
61	370	Late Shri Ram Phal Mali	Kavita Choudhary	24/08/1992 // OBC	In the appeal, the applicant has stated that the rent agreement pertaining to Property No. 176, 2nd Floor, Ghondli, was executed owing to matrimonial disputes, which are presently under adjudication before the competent court. The applicant has further submitted that the statements allegedly made by a shopkeeper and an individual at the factory premises are incorrect and unsupported by any documentary evidence. It has also been stated that Late Shri Ram Phal was a stepson and did not own any immovable property in his name, and that the property in question belongs to the applicant's uncle. The applicant has requested reconsideration of the matter in light of these submissions.	-do-
62	35	Late Shri Vishram Meena Sr. Mali	Krishn Meena	14/05/2005 // ST	In the appeal, the applicant states that there was a typographical error regarding ownership of a motor car. The applicant submits that there is not even a gas connection in the house and requests verification through inspection of the premises. The applicant also states that he belongs to the Scheduled Tribe category.	-do-

63	19	Late Shri Brijpal Singh Garden Supervisor	Kuldeep Kumar	16/11/2001 // SC	The applicant states that he was residing in rented accommodation due to renovation work at his residence. He further explains that the discrepancy in the property documents arose because the name "Rajbala/Rasbala" was mistakenly recorded instead of "Mayawati." The applicant's mother subsequently corrected her name in the property records in 2012. Due to the existence of a single electricity connection in the name of Rajbala and other identity documents bearing the name Mayawati, duplicate property records came into existence. He further clarifies that, owing to lack of awareness, a rent agreement was mistakenly submitted in the name of the deceased person, whereas only the authorized person could execute such an agreement. He has now provided all relevant information and requests reconsideration.	-do-
64	181	Late Shri Rajender Kumar Khallasi	Lalit Kumar	28/02/1991 // SC	The applicant states that due to a misunderstanding, he declared himself unemployed in the affidavit. He now clarifies that he is employed with NITCON.	-do-
65	186	Late Shri Ram Bharose Beldar	Lalit Yadav	28/12/1998 // UR	The applicant states that the bank balance was declared as Nil. He further submits that he has outstanding bank loan liabilities and requests consideration of his case on sympathetic and humanitarian grounds. Attached bank statement shows a bank balance of ₹137/- as on 31.05.2025. The applicant states that the omission of signature above the deponent in the affidavit was unintentional and clerical in nature. No new affidavit has been submitted.	-do-
66	129	Late Shri Ram Baksh Mali	Leeladhar	10/09/1994 // OBC	The applicant states that the reduction in the declared property value from ₹5,00,000 in 2019 to ₹3,65,000 in 2025 occurred due to lack of technical knowledge and an inadvertent human error, and was not intentional. No fresh affidavit has been submitted.	-do-

67	346	Late Shri Ashok Kumar Sweeper	Lokeshwar	15/09/1984 // SC	In the appeal, the applicant states that Nil bank balance was inadvertently declared due to an oversight. He states that his financial condition is indeed precarious with his bank balance remaining insignificant throughout the relevant period. Attached bank statement shows a bank balance of ₹4,765/- as on 31.05.2025.	-do-
68	197	Late Shri Lala Prasad Lift Operator	Madhuri	28/11/1991 // SC	The applicant states that the value declared in the 2019 affidavit was based on an approximate assessment. The value mentioned in the 2025 affidavit has been calculated according to the circle rate notified on 22.09.2014, a copy of which has been enclosed with the appeal.	-do-
69	172	Late Shri Kalwa Pal Mali	Mamta	29/04/1996 // OBC	In the appeal, the applicant states that the property falls under the Master Plan Road (MPR)/Right of Way of existing roads. The property has been valued by a government-approved valuer, who assessed its value at ₹4.98 lakh as on 05.06.2026. A copy of the valuation report has been enclosed with the appeal.	-do-
70	347	Late Shri Suresh Chand Mali	Manish Sharma	13/07/1994 // UR	In the appeal, the applicant states that Nil bank balance was inadvertently declared due to an oversight. He states that his financial condition is indeed precarious with his bank balance remaining insignificant throughout the relevant period. Attached bank statement shows a bank balance of ₹35,341/- as on 31.05.2025. The applicant has also mentioned that his mother undergoes dialysis twice a week (copy of medical slip has been attached) because of which he has taken a loan to cover the expenses.	-do-
71	361	Late Shri Ramesh Mali	Manjeet	06/08/1991 // UR	The applicant submits that the bank balance and cash columns were inadvertently left blank due to a typographical error and that there was no intention to conceal the information. No fresh affidavit has been submitted.	-do-

72	285	Late Shri Mathura Prasad Mali	Manoj Kumar	01/07/1986 // SC	In the appeal, the applicant states that the declaration of Nil bank balance resulted from technical ignorance and lack of complete knowledge of the applicable guidelines. Bank passbook has now been enclosed from Nov 2025 till date. He has also mentioned that his mother is a heart patient and has recently undergone angioplasty (discharge papers attached) and most of the pension is spent on her medicines, tests etc. Also, his sister is a widow and he also has to support her which has led to an increase in burden of loans. Copy of loan papers have also been attached.	-do-
73	292	Late Shri Jai Prakash Beldar	Manoj Kumar	24/03/1985 // SC	The applicant submits that the valuation of the property was assessed in both 2019 and 2025. He further states that the property is situated in an unauthorized area and may be subject to demolition in the future. A letter submitted to the Municipal corporation has been attached along with news clippings and pictures of demolition in the surrounding are. BPL card has also been attached. The applicant has requested a fresh welfare inspection to verify the claims made by him and to confirm his poor financial condition.	-do-
74	293	Late Shri Shiv Charan Mali	Manoj Pal	01/05/1984 // OBC	The applicant states that due to lack of complete information, the property was valued and sold at a lower price. He further submits that a dispute exists between him and his sister regarding the matter. No documents except the appeal application have been submitted.	-do-
75	458	Late Shri Parimal Mali	Mariappan	21/05/1992 // UR	The applicant submits that the property value was inadvertently declared as ₹5 lakh. He has now furnished a revised valuation of ₹8,77,800 based on the applicable circle rate. Documents confirming purchase value of ₹3,70,000 have been submitted.	-do-

76	463	Late Shri Bhavnesh Kumar Gupta UDC	Mayank Goel	01/11/1991 // UR	In the appeal, the applicant has stated that the property value of ₹7,00,000 mentioned in the affidavit was a typographical error and inadvertently entered and that the correct property value is ₹70,00,000. Documents mentioning area of the property and circle rate have been submitted along with calculation sheet showing a value of ₹64,50,000	-do-
77	13	Late Shri Kali Charan Mali	Meenakshi	24/02/1993 // OBC	In the appeal, the applicant states that the lower valuation was the result of a typographical error and they accidentally mentioned value Rs. 1.5 lakh instead of Rs. 2.5 lakh which is the current value of the property.	-do-
78	226	Late Shri Mahinder Singh Khallasi	Mohit Kumar	22/08/1998 // UR	The applicant states that on the relevant date of application, he was unemployed, as the tender awarded by DDA with which he was associated had been withdrawn/cancelled. Since then, he has not been engaged in any employment and has continued to remain unemployed. The applicant requests that the declaration regarding unemployment be viewed in light of this misunderstanding and reconsidered accordingly. No document except the application has been submitted.	-do-
79	441	Late Shri Vijender Singh	Mohit Kumar	01/01/1993 // OBC	The applicant states that details of his mother's bank account were mistakenly furnished, and he became aware of the error only after submission of the application. The applicant has now enclosed his HDFC Bank statement for the period from 01.08.2025 to 02.06.2026 (excluding crucial date of 31.05.2025) and requests reconsideration of the case. A fresh affidavit stating only the omitted details has been submitted along with the application	-do-

80	282	Late Shri Panna lal S/G	Momin	30/03/1993 // OBC	In the appeal, the applicant states that during submission of the affidavit, he was not aware of the valuation of his jhuggi and hence failed to mention the valuation in the affidavit. Now he is stating that the valuation of the jhuggi is approximately Rs. 1,12,000 according to Delhi Government Circular. It is also stated that his brother is presently unemployed and that the omission occurred inadvertently while preparing the affidavit. He has attached the electricity bill of the said jhuggi and ration card of the family.	-do-
81	257	Late Shri Sukhpal Singh S/G	Mukesh Kumar	10/08/1992 // OBC	The applicant states that the property value declared as ₹5.00 lakh in the 2019 affidavit was only an approximate assessment. The value shown as ₹4.65 lakh in the 2025 affidavit reflects depreciation and fluctuations in prevailing market rates and is only a change of 7% which clearly falls under the range of an 'approximate' valuation. He has also submitted scanned copies of his 2019 and 2025 affidavits highlighting the word 'approximate' used when stating the property value.	-do-
82	438	Late Shri Ram Gopal S/G	Mukesh Kumar	03/05/1986 // UR	The applicant states that the valuation of the residential property had been submitted in 2019. The information was inadvertently omitted from the current affidavit due to oversight. A fresh affidavit has now been submitting declaring the valuation of the property calculated using the circle rate of the area. The property is said to valued at Rs. 1,47,000.	-do-
83	341	Late Shri Dari Chand Beldar	Mukesh Manjhi	17/03/1994 // SC	The applicant states that, due to lack of knowledge at the time of inspection by the Welfare Inspector, the name of his sister, Ms. Renu Kumari, was not included in the PPO records and Welfare Report. He has now submitted a Survival Member Certificate and a copy of her Aadhaar Card.	-do-
84	269	Late Shri Gulbir Singh Beldar	Nain Singh	16/09/1970 // SC	Over age In the appeal it is stated by the applicant that age should be relaxed on the basis of mercy.	-do-

85	160	Late Shri Nurul Hoda A.E.	Najmul Hoda	23/05/1994 // UR	The applicant states that the bank balance was not disclosed inadvertently. He has now submitted a copy of the bank passbook showing a balance of ₹2,67,002.00 as on 25.05.2025.	-do-
86	357	Late Shri Mahender Singh Khallasi	Nakul Dudhwal	07/11/1990 // SC	The applicant states that the property value of ₹2,39,249 shown in the 2019 affidavit resulted from a typographical error involving the omission/addition of a zero. In the 2025 affidavit, the applicant has clarified that the property value, calculated as per the circle rate, is ₹26,59,323.40. Supporting documents have been duly submitted.	-do-
87	366	Late Shri Sant Ram S/G	Narendra	11/11/1988 // SC	The applicant states that Jhuggi No. N-17 C/F-257, JJ Colony, Wazirpur is owned by Sh. Salekh Chand and that he resides there as a tenant. A rent agreement had already been submitted. He has also enclosed his online bank statement for the period 01.01.2019 to 31.12.2019. An affidavit has been submitted to clarify the status of the said jhuggi and cash but the amount of cash has not been mentioned in the affidavit rather, a bank statement of 2019 has been enclosed. No papers supporting the claim of ownership of the jhuggi has been submitted. He has stated that Rs. 10 lakh valuation of the jhuggi should not be taken since he resides there as a tenant.	-do-
88	317	Late Shri Ranjeet Singh S/G	Naresh	18/09/1993 // OBC	In the appeal, the applicant has stated that his share of agricultural land had been transferred to his uncle as he had helped them in the marriage of the applicant's family members; however, no supporting documentary evidence has been submitted. He claims that they do not own any agricultural land at the moment. He has also submitted bank statements for the period 01.03.2026 to 31.05.2026 with opening balance of Rs. 7.18. The statement excludes the crucial date of 31.05.2025.	-do-
89	493	Late Shri Bijendra Singh Mali	Naveen Nain	03/02/1996 // UR	In the appeal, the applicant has attached bank statement showing a nil bank balance. He has also addressed the discrepancy in his brother Gaurav Nain's date of birth occurred because his aadhar card was not updated. However, the date of birth mentioned in the attached Class X marksheet, aadhar card, and PAN Card is 06.07.2001.	-do-

90	333	Late Shri Mohan Chand Sati Mate	Neeraj Sati	05/05/1988 // UR	The applicant states that neither he nor any member of his family has ever owned the property in question. His family has resided there solely as tenants and paid rent to the actual owner. Referring to the Welfare Inspector's report dated 03.11.2015, he submits that the property does not stand in the name of his mother or any other family member. An affidavit from the actual owner, Ms. Bhawani Sharma, along with an electricity bill, has been enclosed in support of his claim.	-do-
91	43	Late Shri Baleshwar Yadav Mali	Niranjan Yadav	29/08/1993 // OBC	The applicant has accepted his mistake and has stated that the agricultural land is jointly owned by his father and uncle, and the information was omitted from the affidavit inadvertently. He has also stated that he has two unmarried sisters and one of them is a minor and this job will alleviate his financial burden.	-do-
92	12	Late Shri Shambhu Nath Beldar	Om Prakash	15/01/2001 // OBC	In the appeal, the applicant states that his father mistakenly recorded his date of birth as 05.09.1997 in service records, whereas all other documents, including the PPO book of his mother, Aadhaar Card, PAN Card, Voter ID Card, and educational certificates, reflect the date of birth as 15.01.2001. The applicant further states that no false information was provided or concealed and according to the standard procedure, matriculation certificate should be considered as the proof of correct DoB.	-do-
93	228	Late Shri Bhushan Kumar Mali	Pankaj Kumar	24/12/1989 // OBC	The applicant states that the discrepancy occurred due to an inadvertent clerical error. A copy of the bank statement showing a balance of Rs. 10,228.80 as on 31.05.2025 has been enclosed and the applicant requests reconsideration.	-do-
94	275	Late Shri Dharam Pal Peon	Pankaj Kumar	01/02/1988 // SC	In the appeal, the applicant states that his brother was incorrectly shown as employed and clarifies that the applicant and his brother both are unemployed. He also states that his mother's pension is the only source of income of his family and they are financially burdened. Only an application has been submitted by the applicant.	-do-

95	216	Late Shri Mansingh Beldar	Pankaj Pal	21/05/1993 // OBC	In the appeal, the applicant states that the property value was not clearly reflected in the GPA documents and has submitted an affidavit declaring the sale amount. The applicant acknowledges the omission of signatures of the owner and witness on the rent agreement and has resubmitted the agreement.	-do-
96	435	Late Shri Rameshwar Das J.E.	Parmod Kumar	03/06/1987 // SC	In the appeal, the applicant states that details of one of the two properties were omitted inadvertently. He has now submitted the papers of the other property to correct his mistake.	-do-
97	148	Late Shri Sumer Singh Astt. Supervisor	Parveen Kumar	17/03/1987 // SC	In the appeal, the applicant states that his brothers, Shri Satish and Shri Satya Prakash, reside separately in the ancestral property located in the same village.	-do-
98	193	Late Shri Jagdish Singh Mali	Pawan Kumar	16/02/1984 // OBC	In the appeal, the applicant states that the property belongs to the deceased employee and not to the grandparents, and that the incorrect entry resulted from a typographical error. A copy of the property documents and electricity bill has been enclosed. He has also claimed that they are facing extreme financial hardships.	-do-
99	446	Late Shri Raja Ram Manjhi S/G	Poonam Kumari	15/04/1987 // SC	In the appeal, the applicant states that as she has passed only the matriculation examination so she could not understand the various details asked during the application. The incorrect information was provided unknowingly, without any ill intent.	-do-
100	331	Late Shri Jaipal Singh S/G	Pradeep Baisoya	16/06/1995 // OBC	The applicant submits that the amount of ₹1,50,000 was mentioned due to a typographical error and requests that the property value be considered as ₹15,00,000. Incomplete affidavit having only the stamp paper was available in the scanned copy on eoffice.	-do-

101	373	Late Shri Tej Singh Beldar	Pradeep Kumar	25/02/1988 // OBC	The applicant states that the closing bank balance as on 31.05.2025 was ₹13,206, and a copy of the statement has been enclosed. He further submits that the property in question is a joint family property owned by his grandfather, Sh. Hira Lal. As per the Tehsildar's report, there are eight legal heirs. He inadvertently omitted reference to a paternal aunt in the earlier affidavit and therefore incorrectly claimed a 1/5th share, whereas his actual share is 1/8th. He requests reconsideration in light of the corrected facts.	-do-
102	196	Late Shri Satyavir Singh Assistant	Prashant	21/05/1995 // SC	The applicant states that, as per the prevailing circle rate, the total value of the property is approximately ₹18–22 lakh. Since he holds only a one-fourth share in the property, the value attributable to his share alone should be considered. No document except aadhar card has been submitted.	-do-
103	306	Late Shri Bheem Singh Mali	Prashant Bhati	28/07/1998 // OBC	The applicant has enclosed an online bank statement for the period 23.03.2025 to 31.05.2025 showing a closing balance of ₹49,764. An adoption deed has also been submitted, and he requests sympathetic reconsideration of his case.	-do-
104	11	Late Shri Rajinder Singh S/G	Praveen	22/09/1991 // UR	In the appeal, the applicant states that his brother resides separately and that the entire agricultural land is recorded in the name of his brother, Shri Satish. The applicant further states that a welfare inspection regarding his residence may be conducted. No document except the appeal application has been submitted.	-do-
105	201	Late Shri Jagbir Singh SCD	Rahul	24/10/1996 // UR	In the appeal, the applicant states that the non-disclosure of the computer/laptop was an inadvertent clerical omission and not an intentional act of concealment. He does not own a computer or a laptop. No document except appeal application has been submitted.	-do-
106	466	Late Shri Jai Karan Peon	Rahul	02/06/1991 // OBC	The applicant states that the bank balance was declared as Nil. He has now submitted a bank statement showing a balance of ₹21.00 as on 31.05.2025.	-do-

107	326	Late Shri Komal Prasad Mali	Rahul Dev	01/04/1995 // SC	The applicant states that the property was acquired by his father for an unknown consideration and was constructed on Government land. Pursuant to the issuance of a PM UDAY circular (copy enclosed), the value of the said property has effectively become nil; therefore, its valuation was shown as NIL. The applicant further submits that the bank balance may be treated as not applicable, as the amount of Rs. 330/- available in the account as on 31.05.2025 was negligible. A copy of the bank statement has been enclosed.	-do-
108	184	Late Shri Bijender Kumar S/G	Rahul Kangar	03/01/1997 // OBC	The applicant states that while employed with NITCON Ltd., his bank balance was genuinely negligible as on the relevant date due to personal expenses, liabilities, and financial commitments. A copy of the bank statement reflecting a bank balance oscillating between Re. 1.00 and Rs. -19 has been enclosed. The applicant requests reconsideration of his case.	-do-
109	167	Late Shri Chander Bhan Mali	Rahul Kumar	18/04/1992 // OBC	In the appeal, the applicant has stated that the bank balance was mistakenly declared as Nil. The applicant has now furnished the bank statement, which shows a balance of ₹39,726.00 as on 31.05.2025.	-do-
110	251	Late Shri Musafil Yadav Mali	Raj Kumar	24/09/1994 // UR	The applicant states that the agricultural land situated at Village Rajon, P.O. Pali, District Darbhanga, Bihar, is not residential property and its details were omitted due to an inadvertent oversight while drafting the affidavit. Its current value is Rs. 3,82,800/-. The applicant further submits that the property in Delhi is neither owned by him nor by any family member, as it is a jhuggi under the control of DUSIB (copy enclosed). Therefore, determination of any market value for the said property is legally untenable. Land possession certificate of village property and a DUSIB list of JJ Bastis has been submitted.	-do-
111	255	Late Shri Ram Lalit Mali	Rajbir Paswan	12/06/1990 // SC	In the appeal, the applicant states that the Nil bank balance was declared truthfully and in good faith. A bank statement has now been enclosed showing a balance of ₹(-)2.34 as on 31.05.2025.	-do-

112	291	Late Shri Tej Ram BCD (W/C)	Rajeev	01/01/1986 // SC	In the appeal, the applicant states that the declaration of Nil bank balance was inadvertent. The bank statement now reflects a balance of ₹64 as on 31.05.2025.	-do-
113	258	Late Shri Beer Singh S/G	RajKumar	30/12/1974 // SC	The applicant states that he has become overage and requests relaxation of the age criterion on compassionate and humanitarian grounds.	-do-
114	260	Late Shri Molai Ram Peon	RajKumar	09/06/1976 // SC	The applicant states that he has become overage due to the delay in consideration of his case and requests relaxation of the age criterion.	-do-
115	224	Late Shri Kuvar Singh Mali	Rakesh Kumar	07/05/1985 // OBC	The applicant states that the bank balance was declared as Nil. He has now submitted a bank statement showing a balance of ₹37.00 as on 31.05.2025.	-do-
116	359	Late Shri Rishi Ram Mali	Rakesh Kumar	02/05/1980 // SC	In the appeal, the applicant has submitted that candidates appointed on compassionate grounds who do not possess the minimum educational qualification of Class 10 may be considered as trainees until such time as the department provides the requisite training and enables them to meet the prescribed qualifications. The applicant has requested reconsideration of the case on these grounds.	-do-
117	455	Late Shri Ramphal Peon	Rakesh Kumar	20/07/1984 // OBC	The applicant submits that his correct date of birth is 20.07.1984. No further clarification has been provided in the appeal.	-do-
118	207	Late Shri Suresh Singh S/G	Ram Gopal	01/01/1989 // UR	The applicant states that the bank balance was declared as Nil. He has now submitted a bank statement showing a balance of ₹126.00 as on 31.05.2025.	-do-
119	75	Late Shri Nand Kishore Fitter	Ram Kishan	19/02/1979 // OBC	Over age In the appeal it is stated by the applicant that age relaxation is an annually but essential component of these protections.	-do-
120	249	Late Shri Rajender Singh S/G	Ramvir Singh	13/04/1985 // UR	Over age In the appeal it is stated by the applicant there was no mentioned of age in the notice. Now requesting for further age relaxation.	-do-

121	254	Late Shri Prem Singh S/G	Ranjan Singh	07/10/1985 // UR	In the appeal, the applicant submits that out of the grandfather's 50 sq. yards of land, one-third share belonged to the applicant's father. In 2011, the family borrowed money from the applicant's uncle for the marriage of the applicant's sister. Due to financial difficulties, the loan could not be repaid, and in 2020 the family transferred its share in the land to the uncle in settlement of the outstanding loan. Consequently, the applicant and the family no longer own any share in the said land or any other land. In support, copies of the loan receipt, General Power of Attorney (GPA), Gift Deed relating to a built-up property measuring 100 sq. m. at Burari, and the Sale Deed dated 1985 in the name of Late Shri Prem Singh, former DDA employee, are enclosed.	-do-
122	173	Late Shri Mangali Ram Mali W/C(R)	Ranveer Singh	18/06/1981 // SC	In the appeal, the applicant states that the ancestral property declared in 2019 was barren land. At that time, the property was undivided between him and his brother. In the 2025 affidavit, only the value of his individual share was disclosed. Khatauni has been attached with the appeal application.	-do-
123	497	Late Shri Pawan Kumar S/G	Ravi Kumar	19/10/1989 // UR	The applicant states that during Welfare Inspection they were not shown the contents of the WI report and the property was in the name of the applicant's grandfather. Post the applicant's father's death, due to a family dispute with his uncle, they had to leave the said home. In the 2019 affidavit he disclosed residence at A-590, JJ Colony as a tenant. Due to family circumstances, he subsequently shifted to C-890, JJ Colony and reflected the updated address in the 2025 affidavit. He states that he has to look after the sustenance of his ill mother, widow sister and his wife and children and that has been financially burdening him. He submits that there was no intention to conceal any information. Rent agreements, electricity bills of both the rented properties has been submitted by the applicant along with the appeal application.	-do-

124	338	Late Shri Nain Singh Forman	Ravinder Kumar	21/12/1983 // OBC	The applicant submits that there are two brothers and that the amount of ₹90,000 declared represents only his share in the property. No document except appeal application has been submitted.	-do-
125	409	Late Shri Rajvir Singh Beldar	Ravinder Singh	23/04/1988 // OBC	In the appeal, the applicant has stated that the property declared in the 2019 affidavit was sold on 04.04.2025. In support of his claim, he has enclosed a notarized GPA, wherein the value of the property is mentioned as ₹3 lakh.	-do-
126	213	Late Shri Ompal Singh S/G	Ravit Rathi	01/09/1994 // OBC	In the appeal, the applicant states that due to a network error at the bank, the bank balance could not be displayed. Attached bank statement shows a bank balance of ₹21.60/- as on 31.05.2025.	-do-
127	309	Late Shri Hari Pal Singh Mali	Rinku Kumar	01/12/1994 // OBC	The applicant states that the valuation of his property at ₹2 lakh, as declared by him, is correct. He has clarified that total area of the property is 50 sq yd out of which his share is 25 sq yd and the other half belongs to his brother. According to him, the value of his share is Rs. 2 lakh. No supporting documents except letter by a councillor has been submitted.	-do-
128	39	Late Shri Ashok Kumar Beldar	Rishi Kumar	20/07/1994 // SC	In the appeal, the applicant states that the property is located in an unauthorized area; therefore, supporting documents or proof regarding the status of the area cannot be furnished. A letter of eviction drive/ demolition drive at Khyber Pass, Civil Lines signed by Dy. LDO has been attached with the application along with aadhar cards, voter ID cards, ration card, and electricity bill of the said property.	-do-
129	440	Late Shri Hiralal Mali	Rohit	08/04/1992 // SC	The applicant states that the bank balance is negligible and may be treated as Nil. He has now submitted the bank statement. He further states that the second party signature on the rent agreement was missed inadvertently and a rent agreement had already been submitted during 2018–2019 with the signatures. Attached bank statement shows a bank balance of ₹15/- as on 31.05.2025.	-do-

130	81	Late Shri Kunwar Pal S/G	Rohit Kumar	01/03/1996 // OBC	The applicant states that he inadvertently failed to disclose the agricultural land in the affidavit and requests that the omission be condoned. Khatauni and an affidavit stating the value of the land have been attached with the appeal application.	-do-
131	175	Late Shri Sone Lal Mali	Rohit Kumar	10/11/1997 // OBC	In the appeal, the applicant has stated that in the affidavit submitted in 2019, the property value was declared as ₹2.50 lakh based on information provided by a local property dealer. In the affidavit submitted in 2025, the value was inadvertently mentioned as ₹1.50 lakh due to a clerical error. The applicant has submitted that there was no intention to suppress any information. Further, as per the available Pre-Registration Summary, the property has been valued at ₹12,56,600 by the competent authority. The applicant has reiterated that the discrepancy was unintentional and has enclosed copies GPA, and Pre-Registration Summary have been attached.	-do-
132	308	Late Shri Satpal Singh Mali	Rohit Kumar	10/10/1991 // OBC	In the appeal, the applicant states that the Nil bank balance was mentioned due to a clerical/typing error while preparing the affidavit. An online copy of the bank statement covering the period from 05.06.2025 to 04.06.2026 has been enclosed which does not include the balance as on crucial date 31.05.2025.	-do-
133	416	Late Shri Rajbir Singh J. E.	Rohit Kumar	25/12/1988 // UR	In the appeal, the applicant states that due to a clerical error, the property value was inadvertently mentioned as ₹4.55 lakh instead of the correct value of ₹24.55 lakh.	-do-

134	163	Late Shri Arjun Singh Daftari	Rohtash	15/03/1984 // OBC	The applicant states that the property in question is ancestral property originally owned by his late grandfather, Shri Jamita Ram. The property was subsequently divided among his four sons, with all portions bearing the same address, H. No. 118, Gali No. 6. Until 2019, the applicant resided with the dependents of his late father in one portion. After marriage, he shifted as a tenant to another portion of the same ancestral property belonging to his uncle in order to avoid family disputes. The applicant further submits that neither he nor his family members have sold or transferred the property. The information furnished in the affidavit was provided in accordance with the prevailing circumstances.	-do-
135	474	Late Shri Raj Bahadur Mali	Sachin Kumar	10/09/1997 // OBC	The applicant states that the property value of ₹4.50 lakh declared in the 2019 affidavit was based on an approximate assessment and not on the circle rate. The value of ₹2.95 lakh declared in the 2025 affidavit has been calculated as per the applicable circle rate, and an affidavit and other supporting documents have been submitted. The applicant further states that the bank balance was shown as nil because he receives only a nominal income. A bank statement with bank balance of Rs. 39,726 as on date 31.05.2025 has been attached with the appeal	-do-
136	191	Late Shri Kartar Singh Mate	Sachin Kumar Upadhyay	29/06/1982 // OBC	In the appeal, the applicant has submitted that the discrepancy occurred due to an inadvertent typing error and there was no intention to conceal any information. The applicant has requested reconsideration of the case on this ground. Only a salary slip has been attached with the appeal application.	-do-
137	451	Late Shri Rajveer Singh S/G	Sagar Khari	13/08/1996 // UR	The applicant states that the discrepancy occurred inadvertently and without any intention to conceal information. A bank statement showing a balance of Rs. 162/- as on 31.05.2025 has now been submitted. The applicant further submits that the supporting documents relating to the rent agreement were unintentionally omitted at the time of application. Rent receipts have now been enclosed and the applicant requests reconsideration of the case.	-do-

138	296	Late Shri Nerash Kumar Beldar	Sandeep	03/11/1988 // SC	In the appeal, the applicant has stated that the property declared in 2019 is under the possession of his mother and brothers, remains undivided, and is not registered in his name; therefore, he reported the property value as NIL. He has further stated that the discrepancy in his brother's date of birth arose due to a technical error during Aadhaar updation.	-do-
139	298	Late Shri Ashok Kumar Beldar	Sandeep	02/08/1989 // SC	In the appeal, the applicant has stated that, following the demise of his mother, he declared only his share of the property, valued at ₹5.00 lakh, as the property had already been distributed by his mother among all the brothers and sisters.	-do-
140	439	Late Shri Niranjana Sharma Khallasi	Sandeep Sharma	20/04/1988 // UR	The applicant states that the bank balance was declared as Nil. He has now submitted a bank statement showing a balance of ₹19,088.00 as on 31.05.2025.	-do-
141	122	Late Shri Pratap Singh S/G	Sandeep Singh Shekhawat	23/11/1989 // UR	In the appeal, the applicant has submitted an affidavit stating that the ground floor of House No. 860, Jahangir Puri, was sold in 2006 and the upper floor was sold in 2022. No property value was disclosed in the affidavit.	-do-
142	302	Late Shri Suresh Chand Safai Karamchari	Sanjay	01/08/1990 // SC	In the appeal, the applicant has submitted that the property situated at Sanjay Camp is an unauthorized jhuggi, and being unaware of its assessable value, the cost was shown as NIL in the affidavit. The applicant has further stated that Vijay has not been residing with the family for several years and is presently living outside Delhi; therefore, his No Objection Certificate (NOC) could not be obtained and submitted. The applicant has requested that these circumstances be considered while reviewing the case.	-do-
143	263	Late Shri Ram Swarup A. D.	Sanjeev Kumar	25/08/1976 // SC	Over age In the appeal it has been requested to provide special relaxation in age and providing compassionate appointment.	-do-

144	340	Late Shri Shankar Singh S/G	Sanjeev Kumar	07/12/1992 // UR	In the appeal, the applicant states that the permanent residence was sold due to his father's illness. A letter by hospital confirming Stage IV cancer and the date of death has been attached with the application.	-do-
145	431	Late Shri Sardar Singh Khallasi	Sanjeev Kumar	15/04/1991 // OBC	The applicant states that the old house was demolished by the Delhi Wetland Authority. Court Proceedings copy with OA No. 503/2018 and CS SCJ/17/2022 have been attached along with the photographs of the demolished property. He has also recently become aware that his brother had modified his age in the Aadhaar card for participation in a private kickboxing tournament. 10th class certificate mentioning date of birth as 11/11/1996 has been submitted. The applicant submits that the bank balance was inadvertently declared as Nil. A bank statement has now been provided showing a balance of ₹3,026 as on 31.05.2025.	-do-
146	320	Late Shri Subhash Chand Mate	Sanny Kumar	24/07/1994 // SC	The applicant submits that, due to an inadvertent omission, the rent receipt and complete copy of his sister's Aadhaar Card were not submitted earlier. Two rent agreements of 2024 & 2025 of Nangloi and Kotla Vihar respectively with rent receipts have been submitted. Aadhar card of unmarried daughter has also been submitted now.	-do-
147	470	Late Shri Jawahar Paswan S/G	Santosh Paswan	19/10/1990 // SC	In the appeal, the applicant states that he is unmarried and has never been married. The incorrect declaration was purely clerical and unintentional on the part of the document writer. A new affidavit has also been submitted confirming his marital status.	-do-
148	170	Late Shri Kukku	Saroj	01/01/1990 // SC	The applicant states that her mother expired on 07.03.2026 and that her brother is threatening her to vacate the house. Being a labourer with limited means, she requests appointment on compassionate grounds.	-do-

149	329	Late Shri Sunder Singh S/G	Sarvan Kumar Bansal	22/01/1986 // OBC	The applicant states that the agricultural land is still in the family's possession but was inadvertently omitted from the Affidavit-2025 due to haste. The bank balance was declared as Nil; however, the applicant has now submitted a bank statement showing a balance of ₹16.00 as on 31.05.2025.	-do-
150	386	Late Shri Heera Lal Verma S/G	Sarvesh Verma	24/04/1996 // OBC	The applicant states that the witness's signature on the rent agreement was inadvertently left out due to oversight and requests that the omission be treated as a genuine human error. No document except application and result has been attached.	-do-
151	57	Late Shri Rameshwar Rai Khallasi	Satish	03/10/1995 // OBC	In the appeal, the applicant has stated that he currently resides in a rented accommodation at H-5, Om Vihar, Phase-5, Nawada, Uttam Nagar, and that his previous rental address was RZA-85, Dabri Extension, Palam Village, Delhi. No document except appeal application has been submitted.	-do-
152	279	Late Shri Ratan Singh Mali	Satish Kumar	01/01/1986 // SC	The applicant states that ancestral land was sold in September 2024 to meet expenses related to his mother's operation. Due to lack of awareness, this information was not disclosed earlier. He has enclosed relevant documents and an online bank statement for the period 01.03.2025 to 31.05.2025 showing a closing balance of ₹7.00. Sale agreement and mother's medical papers have been attached with the application.	-do-
153	418	Late Shri Ram Chander Mali	Satish Kumar	01/01/1983 // SC	In the appeal, the applicant states that he believed contractual employment was equivalent to unemployment and requests that the error be considered as arising from lack of awareness. He further states that the property value declared in 2019 was ₹5 lakh for the entire property, whereas in the 2025 affidavit only one-fourth share occupied by the legal heirs of Late Shri Ram Chander was considered, valued at ₹3.2 lakh. The value of the applicant's share is approximately ₹80,000.	-do-

154	240	Late Shri Maharaj Singh Garden Supervisor	Satpal	15/07/1986 // OBC	The applicant states that his mother's bank account details were submitted inadvertently. Acknowledging the mistake, he has now furnished an online copy of his own bank account statement for the period 01.03.2025 to 31.05.2025 stating a bank balance of ₹20/- as on 31.05.2025.	-do-
155	459	Late Shri Akbar Khan Mali	Shakeer	07/07/1997 // UR	The applicant submits that the bank balance was inadvertently declared as Nil. Attached bank statement shows a bank balance of ₹14,953.91/- as on 31.05.2025. He further states that he is presently residing in a rented accommodation while his permanent Delhi address is where his sister, mother and two elder brothers reside. He has attached the rent agreement. Surrender slip of staff quarter has been submitted. The applicant also states that the name of his younger sister was omitted from the 2019 affidavit due to lack of proper guidance and that the omission was inadvertent and so should not be construed as a contradiction or suppression of facts.	-do-
156	280	Late Shri Gyanendra S/G	Shanee	15/07/1990 // UR	In the appeal, the applicant states that the land had already been verified and recorded during the departmental inquiry; therefore, it was not considered necessary to reproduce identical details in the forms submitted subsequently in 2019 and 2025. Also, according to the applicant, the two alleged shops are two rooms in front portion of the house and are only used as store rooms presently.	-do-
157	50	Late Shri Suresh S/G	Shani Kumar	01/07/1995 // SC	In the appeal, the applicant states that the lower valuation resulted from an inadvertent human error. No document except appeal application has been submitted.	-do-
158	432	Late Shri Ram Singh Mali	Shatrughan Parshad Yadav	06/01/1979 // OBC	In the appeal, the applicant requests age relaxation on compassionate and humanitarian grounds.	-do-

159	232	Late Shri Pratap Singh Environment Asstt.	Shiv Kumar	31/05/1985 // SC	In the appeal, the applicant states that the family was living jointly in 2019 and therefore the total property value was disclosed in the 2019 affidavit. Following a family dispute in 2020, the property was divided into two parts with his elder brother, and only the value of one share was disclosed in the 2025 affidavit. The applicant further states that, as a NITCON employee, he receives ₹20,611 per month, subject to deductions for absence. An online bank statement for the period from 01.07.2025 to 30.11.2025 has been enclosed. He also states that he has also taken personal loan due to financial difficulties.	-do-
160	301	Late Shri Alok Chatterjee OCM	Shshank Chatterjee	04/02/1996 // UR	In the appeal, the applicant states that the declaration of Nil bank balance was an unintentional oversight. The bank statement has now been enclosed showing a bank balance of ₹34,181/- as on 31.05.2025.	-do-
161	176	Late Shri Adarsh Kumar Khallasi	Shyam Sunder	01/01/1992 // UR	The applicant states that property bearing No. WZ-16C, Janakpuri stands in the name of Smt. Bhagwati Devi and has no relation to him. The applicant submits that this fact may be independently verified and requests that the rejection of his candidature be set aside and his case reconsidered for appointment. However, in the affidavit submitted with the appeal application, it is also stated that the property is owned by the applicant's grandparents (point no. 5), the value of which is also mentioned as Rs. 15 lakh with the owner being Lt. Smt. Bhagwati Devi.	-do-
162	330	Late Shri Pratap Singh Peon	Singh Raj	10/08/1988 // OBC	The applicant submits that the bank balance was inadvertently declared as Nil. A bank statement has now been furnished showing a balance of ₹78.51 as on 31.05.2025. He further states that the property valuation of ₹6.44 lakh declared in 2025 is correct as per the applicable circle rate (copy of circle rate related documents attached). The applicant also states that his brother has been missing since December 2025 (copy of missing person registration is also attached).	-do-

163	281	Late Shri Rajbir Singh S/G	Sohan Pal Singh	07/01/1988 // OBC	The applicant requests that his case be considered sympathetically in view of the financial condition and hardships faced by his family. Signed rent agreement has been now submitted with the appeal.	-do-
164	55	Late Shri Mahipal Mali	Sonu Kumar	18/06/1988 // OBC	In the appeal, the applicant states that he could not sign the affidavit due to a misunderstanding. No other document except appeal application has been submitted.	-do-
165	158	Late Shri Kanwar Singh Mate	Sunil	02/01/1983 // UR	The applicant states that he was only 27 years old at the time of his father's death. He submits that had he been granted employment either then or within a reasonable period thereafter, he would not have faced the present hardship. He requests that the rejection be set aside and that he be considered for appointment.	-do-
166	169	Late Shri Chand Mali	Sunil Kumar	06/04/1996 // OBC	In the appeal, the applicant has submitted that the discrepancy occurred due to an inadvertent typing error and was not deliberate. The applicant has further stated that the concerned bank account carried a balance of only ₹304 as on 31.05.2025 according to the statement attached with the appeal. It has also been submitted that there are no household assets or furniture other than a traditional charpai, as the family resides in a village. The applicant has requested reconsideration of the case in view of these facts. Notarized and signed affidavit page containing the movable assets table has been attached with the appeal	-do-
167	262	Late Shri Mannu Ram Mali	Sunil Kumar	02/12/1992 // SC	The applicant states that he inadvertently failed to disclose receipt of a basic pension of ₹13,470 per month while submitting the application. Pension-related documents have now been enclosed.	-do-
168	449	Late Shri Suresh Mali	Sunil Kumar	10/08/1991 // OBC	The applicant has submitted the required affidavit, bank statement, and experience certificate in the appeal.	-do-
169	499	Late Shri Mahesh Chandra Joshi Khallasi	Sunil Kumar Joshi	04/08/1986 // UR	In the appeal, the applicant states that there were no funds in the bank account and that the information provided was based on the actual facts. An online copy of the bank statement has been attached with the appeal showing a bank balance of ₹1,525.10/- as on 31.05.2025.	-do-

170	247	Late Shri Chander Sen Mali	Sunny Kumar	26/09/1994 // SC	In the appeal, the applicant states that the absence of the witness's signature on the rent agreement was a minor inadvertent human error and was not intentional. He states that rejection on the basis of such a minor procedural lapse would cause a lot of financial hardships for him and his family. No new rent agreement has been submitted with the appeal.	-do-
171	332	Late Shri Suresh Pal Singh S/G	Sunny Tomar	01/04/1995 // UR	In the appeal, the applicant has stated that due to an error in the records, his family's share of land was considered as one-third of the total landholding, whereas the actual share is one-sixth. Consequently, the assessed property value has been reduced from ₹5 lakh to ₹4.6 lakh as it has been assessed based on the circle rate with copy of Khatauni and Circle Rate attached.	-do-
172	453	Late Shri Jai Singh Driver	Surender Singh	06/10/1983 // OBC	The applicant submits that the bank balance was mistakenly declared as Nil. A bank statement has now been furnished showing a balance of ₹0.05 as on 31.05.2025. He further states that Ms. Babli was incorrectly shown as unmarried due to a typographical error by the typist, and the requisite identity documents have now been submitted with the appeal.	-do-
173	328	Late Shri Vijay Pal S/G	Sushil	08/01/1981 // OBC	The applicant requests relaxation of the prescribed age limit on compassionate and humanitarian grounds.	-do-
174	343	Late Shri Rohtash Vashisht Mate	Tinku Sharma	08/06/1986 // UR	In the appeal, the applicant states that the bank balance/cash-in-hand details were omitted from the affidavit by mistake. No new affidavit has been submitted with the appeal. Bank statement attached with the appeal shows a bank balance of ₹39,726/- as on 31.05.2025.	-do-
175	360	Late Shri Randhir Singh Mali	Titu Ram	05/09/1994 // SC	The applicant submits that the property stands in the name of his grandfather, who had four sons. He further states that the ancestral property is under dispute and that no legal ownership exists over any specific portion; therefore, an accurate valuation cannot be provided.	-do-

176	256	Late Shri Ravi Prakash Driver	Trijesh Kumar	18/12/1996 // UR	In the appeal, the applicant states that due to the urgency in submitting documents, obtaining the re-verification/re-signing of the NOC was inadvertently overlooked. A duly signed NOC and affidavit have now been enclosed with the appeal.	-do-
177	238	Late Shri Gyan Dutt Yadav Beldar	Umakant Yadav	15/03/1983 // OBC	In the appeal, the applicant states that the omission occurred inadvertently while preparing the affidavit and was purely unintentional.	-do-
178	28	Late Shri Kali Charan Mali	Umesh	22/08/2000 // SC	The applicant states that he is a resident of E-55/187, Dilshad Vihar Colony, Dilshad Garden. A copy of the domicile certificate has been enclosed in support of his claim. He also claims that he is surviving in extreme poverty and requests for consideration of his case on humanitarian grounds. No document except domicile certificate has been submitted with the appeal application.	-do-
179	303	Late Shri Virender Mali	Umesh	01/09/1995 // OBC	The applicant states that due to a misunderstanding, he selected "Motor Car" in Column M. He submits that had the option "Cash Movable Assets" been available, he would have selected it instead. The incorrect entry occurred due to lack of awareness regarding the appropriate classification. No document except the appeal application has been submitted.	-do-
180	353	Late Shri Samai Mali	Ved Prakash	01/08/1991 // SC	The applicant submits that the property situated at E-24/49, Jai Bharti Camp, East Vinod Nagar, Delhi, is a jhuggi constructed on government land and can be vacated at any time. He further states that neither he nor his family members own any property in their native village/hometown. The applicant also states that his sister was married approximately 25-26 years ago and that the requisite NOC has now been submitted. Date of Death of deceased employee was 10.12.2009.	-do-
181	355	Late Shri Raj Singh Peon	Veerendra Kumar	09/04/1991 // UR	The applicant states that the said property has been distributed in two parts. He states that he had mentioned only his share of 5 lac and omitted his younger brother's share of 5 lac. No document has been attached with the appeal application.	-do-

182	481	Late Shri Hari Ram S/G	Vicky	27/03/1989 // SC	The applicant states that the bank balance was declared as Nil and clarifies that he is currently unemployed. He further submits that his mother expired on 04.10.2025 and requests re-examination of his case.	-do-
183	478	Late Shri Dharambir Singh Mate	Vicky Mann	23/02/1997 // OBC	In the appeal, the applicant has stated that although he is employed with Nitcon, he is not a regular employee and therefore declared himself as unemployed. He has further stated that the property mentioned in the 2019 affidavit was transferred to a lender; however, no supporting documents have been submitted. The applicant has also contended that the witness's signature on the rent agreement is a minor discrepancy. No document has been submitted with the appeal application.	-do-
184	217	Late Shri Vikram Singh Lift Operator	Vijay	21/10/1983 // OBC	Requested consideration of his case despite being overage, citing delay in DDA procedures and seeking sympathetic consideration.	-do-
185	21	Late Shri Hardas Mali	Vijay Pal	14/12/1989 // SC	In the appeal, the applicant states that House No. P-1/745 has been sold. First Page of GPA has been attached with the appeal which just shows the date and the address of the property.	-do-
186	413	Late Shri Tej Pal	Vijay Singh	07/08/1991 // UR	The applicant states that the property mentioned as E-12/771, Loni in the 2019 affidavit and property No. 1056, Loni, Ghaziabad mentioned in the current affidavit are one and the same property. Copies of an MLA recommendation letter and a Lekhpal report have been enclosed in the appeal.	-do-
187	461	Late Shri Attar Singh Mali	Vijender Singh	16/05/1983 // OBC	Requested consideration of his case despite being overage, citing delay in DDA procedures and seeking sympathetic consideration.	-do-
188	147	Late ShriRamesh Chander Beldar (W/C)	Vikas	12/02/1989 // UR	In the appeal, the applicant states that ownership of a house is only one of several factors considered for awarding weightage points and should not be treated as a standalone ground for rejection. The applicant requests reassessment of the case in accordance with the DoPT guidelines governing compassionate appointment.	-do-

189	313	Late Shri Chander Pal J.E	Vikas Kumar	20/02/1988 // OBC	In the appeal, the applicant states that the declaration of Nil bank balance was inadvertent. The bank statement now reflects a balance of ₹171.25 as on 29.05.2025 (only half of the particular page has been submitted).	-do-
190	138	Late Shri Rajbir Singh Pump Operator	Vinod Kumar Jayant	14/02/1980 // SC	The applicant states that he has become overage due to the delay in consideration of his case and requests appropriate consideration.	-do-
191	450	Late Shri Siya Ram Mali	Vinod Kumar Yadav	25/06/1990 // UR	The applicant states that there was no intention to suppress material facts and that the discrepancy arose due to an inadvertent error. He submits that the closing bank balance as on 31.05.2025 was only ₹943.36, and a copy of the statement has been enclosed. Considering the negligible balance, it was understood to be effectively non-operative. He requests a liberal rather than a hyper-technical approach in considering his case.	-do-
192	314	Late Shri Manohar Lal Assistant	Vipin Kumar	27/09/1993 // SC	The applicant states that the omission of witness signatures was inadvertent. He further submits that the property was sold due to severe financial hardship, and GPA has been submitted with the appeal. The omission of his brother's Aadhaar address was also accidental, and the relevant document has now been submitted.	-do-
193	448	Late Shri Ramphal Sharma Beldar	Virender	05/03/1990 // UR	In the appeal, the applicant states that marital status was incorrectly recorded as unmarried due to a typographical error in the affidavit.	-do-
194	133	Late Shri Satish Kumar OCM	Vishal Kumar	06/01/1994 // OBC	In the appeal, the applicant states that the bank balance was declared as Nil. A bank statement has now been enclosed showing a balance of ₹220.00 as on 31.05.2025.	-do-
195	128	Late Shri Rishi Pal S/G	Vishal Malik	08/06/1999 // OBC	In the appeal, the applicant states that the property was sold in 2021. A copy of the GPA has been enclosed with the appeal.	-do-

196	384	Late Shri Satpal Singh Beldar	Yogender	27/01/1987 // UR	The applicant submits that the purchase price of ₹2.5 lakh was inadvertently mentioned in the 2025 affidavit instead of the prevailing market value. He states that the error was unintentional and not made with any intent to conceal facts. Although, upon verifying the RAF, it was found that he had clearly mentioned ₹ 50,000 as the purchase value and ₹ 2.5 lakh as current value.	-do-
-----	-----	-------------------------------	----------	------------------	---	------

Sd/-

Annexure 3

List of documents required for document verification

1. Aadhaar Card & PAN Card of applicant in original and photocopy
2. ID Proof of dependents in original and photocopy
3. Category Certificate, if any
4. Educational Qualification documents both in original and photocopy
5. 3 months Bank Statement covering crucial date of 31.05.2025 either attested by bank manager or updated passbook
6. Property papers mentioning the area under possession of the dependents/family of the deceased employee alongwith proof regarding the circle rate either online or physical copy attested by revenue officer

In addition to the documents specified above, the following documents shall also be required for specific document verification in the respective cases:

1. **Electricity Bill, Delhi Jal Board Bill, or Gas Connection Document** clearly indicating the name of the owner/landlord of the premises, as applicable.
2. **Original Death Certificate** of the dependent, in cases where the dependent of the deceased employee expired before **30.05.2025** and the applicant had not submitted the requisite **No Objection Certificate (NOC)** within the prescribed time.

Sd/-