



1. WAB Agenda Item NO. 437/C.E.(R)
 Name of Work:- Development of ~~work~~ 122.92 Hect. of land at sector-37 (part) Rohini Phase V.
 S.H:- Providing and laying peripheral sewer line in sector-37 Rohini phase V.

2. WAB Agenda Item NO. 438/C.E.(R)
 Name of Work:- Development of 122.92 Hect. of land in sector-37 (part) Rohini phase V.
 S.H:- providing and laying internal sewer lines in plotted pockets A-1, B-3, C1 & C2 in sector-37 (part) Rohini phase V.

3. WAB Agenda NO. 741/C.E.(R) 2016-2017
 N.O. W. consultancy services for the project of "Inst. of socio culture centre at sector-11, Dwaraka."
 Two Nos NEW Agendas has been received from C.E. (Rohini) placed opposite same is put up for your kind perusal please and forward the same to competent authority for fixing suitable date & time schedule if agreed. so that 8th WAB (2016) meeting may be organised to discuss/approve the above agendas. Submitted pl.



E.O.TII 24/11/2016
 DIR. (WORKS) 24/11/16

AE-106 EM
24/11/16

EM VC.

25/11/16

AS discussed with Smt. Manisha Gupta on dated 28.11.2016. that date and time for next WAB meeting has been fixed for 29.11.2016 at 3:00 PM. by VC. DDA

E.O.TII 28.11.16

2931 EM
 24/11/16



W. AB Meeting was held on 30.11.2016 at 11.00 AM
 in the Conference ^{hall} of V.C. DDA. The Draft Minutes
 of Meeting are prepared & placed opposite of
 approval please.

3054/ny
 5/12/16

Sir (W) ~~XXXX~~ 01/12/16
 Emp. DDA

WAB
 05/12/2016
 Secretary WAB

[Signature]
 08/12/16

CET (Ac) *[Signature]*
 6/12/16

CET (Ac)

[Signature]
 WAB

[Signature]
 6/12/16

736-EP
 06-12-16



[Faint, mostly illegible handwritten notes and bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]



The minutes for the WAB meeting held on 30.11.2016 for the item No 3 and Item No 4 may be read as:

Item No 3

After due discussion and deliberations, The Board decided to reject the recommendations of CE (Dwarka) for revoking of the consultancy agreement of M/s Suresh Goel & Associates. The Board also desired to explore the possibility wherein the proposed football stadium be developed as Multi sports arena along with socio cultural component

Item No 4

After due discussion and deliberations, considering all above aspects, ~~as placed by CE~~ (NZ), the Board was of the view that since the scope of the work was enhanced, therefore the case was brought to the notice of the WAB. The same was noted by WAB. Since the payment of additional mobilisation advance falls within the purview of EM,DDA , hence appropriate action be taken at his level as per the laid down procedures.

Udai Pratap Singh
Vice Chairman

Engineer Member

[Faint handwritten notes in Hindi, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]

[Faint handwritten signature or name.]



CONFIDENTIAL

Delhi Development Authority
(Work Advisory Board)

No: WAB 1(76) Vol.41/Secy./2016/

4197

Dated 15/12/2016

Sub: Minutes of the 8th WAB (2016) meeting held on 30.11.2016 at 11.00AM in the Conference Hall, 1st floor, B-Block, VikasSadan, INA, New Delhi.

Draft Minutes of 8thWAB (2016) meeting held on 30.11.2016 at 11.00 A.M in the Conference Hall, 1st Floor, B-Block, Vikas Sadan, INA, New Delhi was circulated vide letter of even no. 4142 dated 09.12.2016, now final and amended minutes of 8th WAB (2016) meeting are enclosed here with for favors of information & necessary action.

Encl:- As above

NA
16/12/2016
(R.K. Bhanwaria)
Secretary (WAB)

In Circulation to :

- i.) Chief Engineer (Dwarka Zone), DDA.
- ii.) Chief Engineer (North Zone), DDA.
- iii.) Chief Engineer (Rohini), DDA.

Copy to:-

- i) Sr. PS to VC, DDA for kind information of the latter.
- ii) AD to EM, DDA for kind information of the latter.
- iii) Sr. PS to FM, DDA for kind information of the latter.
- iv) Chief Engineer (QAC), DDA for kind information.
- v) Commissioner (Planning), DDA.
- vi) Chief Architect, DDA.
- vii) Chief Account Officer, DDA for kind information.
- viii) Chief Legal Officer, DDA for kind information.
- ix) Sr. AO/CAU (Dwarka Zone), for kind information
- x) Sr. AO/CAU (North Zone), for kind information.

NA
16/12/2016
Secretary (WAB)

DELHI DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY
(WORKS ADVISORY BOARD)

No. WAB1 (76)/Vol.41/Secy./2016/

Dated:

Subject : Minutes of the 8th WAB (2016) meeting held on 30.11.2016 at 11:00 AM in the Conference Hall, 1st floor, B-Block, Vikas Sadan, INA, New Delhi. The List officers, who attended this meeting. (as per Annexure-A)

Present(S/Shri)

1. Udai Pratap Singh	Vice-Chairman	Chairman
2. Dr. Mahesh Kumar	Engineer Member	Member
3. Santosh Kumar	Acting Finance Member	Member
4. D.P. Singh	Chief Engineer (QAC)	Member
5. Santosh Kumar	Chief Account Officer	Member
6. R.K. Bhawaria	EO-III to EM	Secy. (WAB)

Others (S/Shri)

1. D.P. Singh	Chief Engineer (Dwarka Zone)
2. Ajay Gupta	Chief Engineer (North Zone)
3. H.K. Bharti	Director (Planning)
4. Anil Behki	Director Works

The following agenda items were discussed.

A) Category "C" : New Items**1. WAB Agenda item NO 437/CE(Rohini)/2016-17**

- i) Name of Works: - Development of 122-92 Hact. Of land at Sector-37(Part) Rohini Phase-V.

WA

(2)

**Sub Head :- Providing and laying peripheral sewer line in Sector-37, Rohini Phase-V.
(For award of work)**

The Agenda note submitted by CE® vide no. FO/CE(R)/11(585)2016-17/DDA/1412 dated 21.11.2016. Was presented by CE(R) with the recommendation for awarding the work to the lowest agency M/s Sandeep construction. Chief Engineer(Rohini) stated that on line e-tender for the work were re-invited by EE/RPD-6 giving wide Publicity through new paper and also circulating the N.I.T. among various contractors Association and other with the last date of submission of tender and opening of financial bid on 25.10.2016 and 21.10.2016 respectively. As per CE(R), 2(two) nos agency has submitted their offers and both were found technically qualified.

On the query from the board that why so less response are received in this tender, CE(R) clarified that this is a third call, in first call of tender opened on 12-04-2013 and works was not awarded due to status quo granted by APEX Court and 2nd call of tender was on 27.04.2014 and work was awarded after due acceptance of WAB vide WAB agenda in 2014 to M/s Ajab singh & company. The work could not start due to Barwala Villagers agitation and non-providing police force by delhi police. In view of the circumstances explained above the most of contractors are hesitated to participation in the tendering for this particular area. He further stated that the rates quoted by lowest agency is 5.66 % less from the contractor whom work was awarded in the 2nd call so in that way. We are saving money.

The CE(Rohini) further added that the approval of M/s Sandeep Construction falls under the Competency of WAB because provisions of sub head in A/A & E/S of works in more than the power delegated to the Chief Engineer (R). Hence tender of M/S Sandeep Construction is recommended for acceptance as the quoted rate are 21.40% below the estimated cost. The work is time bound as per the directions of the Hon'ble Supreme Court under SLP No 16385-88 of 20102 (Rahul Gupta vs DDA and others) vide order dated 10.03.2015, 28.01.2016 and 18.10.2016. Police force is available for execution of work w.e.f. 08.11.2016.

After due discussion and considering above aspect information and clarification as placed by CE (Rohin). Board decided to accept the recommendation of CE(Rohini) for acceptance of the work of M/S Sandeep Construction on his quoted rates 21.40% below the estimated cost put to N.I.T. and further directed that no time extensions will be given beyond the stipulated time taken in the tender. Time extension if any shall be decided by WAB.

2. WAB agenda item NO. 438/CE(Rohini)2016-17

i) **Name of work : Development of 122.92 Hect. of land at sector-37 (part) in Rohini, Phase-V**

Sub head : Providing and laying internal Sewer lines in plotted pocket A-I, B-3, C-I and C-2 in Sector-37(part), Rohini Phase-V

(For Award of Work)

M/S

(3)

Agenda note submitted by CE(R) vide no FO/CE(R) 11(586)2016-17/DDA/1411 dated 21.11.2016. Was presented by CE(R) with the recommendation for awarding the work to the lowest agency M/s Sandeep construction. Chief Engineer(Rohini) stated that on line e-tender for the work were re-invited by EE/RPD-6 giving wide Publicity through new paper and also circulating the N.I.T. among various contractors Association and other with the last date of submission of tender and opening of financial bid on 25.10.2016 and 27.10.2016 respectively. As per CE(R), Single agency has submitted their offers and was found technically qualified.

On the query from the board that why so less response are received in this tender, CE clarified that this is a third call, in first call of tender opened on 17.07.2013 and works was not awarded due to status quo granted by APEX Court and 2nd call of tender was on 18.04.2015 and work was award after due acceptance of WAB vide WAB agenda in 2015 to M/S Vats Builders. The work could not start due to Barwala Villagers agitation and non-providing police force by Delhi Police. In view of the circumstances explained above the most of contractors are hesitated to participation in the tendering for this particular area. He further stated that the rates quoted by lowest agency is 1.25 % less from the contractor whom work was awarded in the 2nd call so in that way. We are saving money.

The CE(Rohini) further added that the approval of M/s Sandeep Construction falls under the Competency of WAB because provisions of sub head in A/A & E/S of works is more than the financial power delegated to the Chief Engineer (R). Hence tender of M/S Sandeep Construction is recommended for acceptance as the quoted rate are 14.47% below the estimated cost & 19.70% below the justified rate. The work is time bound as per the directions of the Hon'ble Supreme Court under SLP No 16385-88 of 20102 (Rahul Gupta V/S DDA and others) vide order dated 10.03.2015, 28.01.2016 and 18.10.2016. Police force is available for execution of work w.e.f. 08.11.2016.

After due discussion and considering above aspect information and clarification as placed by CE (Rohini). The Board decided to accept the recommendation of CE (Rohini) for acceptance of the work of M/S Sandeep Construction on his quoted rates 14.47% below the estimated cost put to N.I.T. and further directed that no time extensions will be given beyond the stipulated time taken in the tender. Time extension if any shall be decided by WAB.

3. WAB Agenda item No. 741/CE (Dwarka)/2016-17

- i) Name of works:- Consultancy services for the project of construction of Socio-Culture Centre at Sector-11, Dwarka.
(Revocation of Consultancy Agreement of the Consultants M/s Suresh Goel & Associates)

(4)

The agenda note Submitted by the CE(Dwk) Vide No CE(DWK) F1(96)2016-17/DDA/SWD-9/5922 dated 24.11.2016 was presented by CE (Dwarka) and stated that initially it was proposed to constructed this Social Cultural Centre by DDA its own funds. A Consultant to prepare design, drawings, BOQ and also to assist DDA during the construction was appointed by inviting online bids. M/s Suresh Goel and associates were appointed for the purpose for a total fee of Rs.2.89 crores after due approval of WAB in the meeting held on 26.06.2015. These bids were competitive and this consultant was appointed on third occasion. WAB while approving the appointment of above consultant has observed that the concept plan be got approved from the Hon'ble L.G. before further detailing of the facilities of above Socio Culture Centre. M/s Suresh Goel and associates after appointment have prepared the concept plan which was presented 2-3 times before that VC but it could not be moved further. Later on in the Sr. Officers meeting of the VC, it was decided that as discussed in the meeting of the Secretary UD, 5 Socio Culture Centre in whole of Delhi including that of Dwarka shall be taken up on Public Private Participation (PPP) Mode. In this PPP mode it was envisaged that 60% of the constructed area will be for remunerative part and 40% area for the facility of the Socio Culture Centre activities. 60% remunerative part shall be disposed of by the private party/concessionaire to be appointed and the remaining 40% which will be for the Socio Culture Centre and shall be operated and maintained jointly by DDA and the concessionaire as per the terms and conditions to be decided after appointment of concessionaire.

As the change of execution mode from Engineering, Procurement & Construction (EPC) to PPP, it was decided and directed in the SOM that Chief Engineer (Dwarka) should bring the proposal for closure of the agreement of M/s Suresh Goel and Associates who were appointed the consultant for execution of the Socio Culture Centre work on Engineering Procurement and Construction (EPC) basis. Accordingly this office has put up a agenda note to the WAB on dt.31.08.2016 was agenda item no.739 CE(Dwk)2016-17 recommending closure of the agreement of M/s Suresh Goel and Associates which was considered by the WAB in his meeting held on 09.09.2016 and it was approved to close the agreement of M/s Suresh Goel and Associates and to make the payment to them of the work done by them but not exceeding to Rs.10 lac.

After receipt of the approval of the WAB, a notice was issued to M/s Suresh Goel and Associates with the intention to terminate the consultancy agreement w.e.f. 30.10.2016. In response to above notice, M/s Suresh Goel and Associates has represented inter-alia requesting to continue with project and their consultancy agreement as they have already worked for 1.5 years in good faith and they are ready to modify the design to accommodate 60% area for Private investors and 40% area for DDA. CE(Dwk) further stated that.

At this stage it cannot be ensured that we may get some PPP partners due to recession in the Real Estate market. DDA has to construct five Socio Culture Centre i.e. at Vasant Kunj, Rohini, CBD Shahdara, Mayur Vihar and Dwarka. It is proposed that work at Dwarka may be taken up on EPC mode where Consultant has already been appointed and has prepared the concept design. For the remaining four Socio Culture Center. Option on PPP mode can be explored. At present the Real Estate market has uncertainty and it cannot be predicted at this time that our Project on PPP mode shall be successful or not. DDA is successfully running Sport Complexes and Golf Course. Therefore, the facilities of Socio Culture Centre created at Dwarka can be run on the same pattern of Sport Complexes and Golf Course. In view of above submission, it is recommended that decision close the Agreement of M/s Suresh Goel & Associates for the Consultancy of "Construction of Socio Culture Centre" at Sector-11, Dwarka" for the



(5)

construction of above Socio-Culture Centre on EPC mode taken in WAB meeting held on 09.09.2016 be revoked.

After due discussion and deliberations, the Board decided to reject the recommendation of CE (Dwarka) for revoking of Consultancy agreement of M/S Suresh Goel & Associates. The Board also desired to explore the possibility wherein the proposed football stadium as Multi Sports arena along with socio cultural component.

4. WAB agenda item Placed on table by CE(NZ)

Subject : Payment of Mobilization advance to M/S B.G. Shirke against 3 alternate site provided to them by DDA in lieu of the 5 disputed contractual pockets .

Name of Work : C/o 24,660 LIG & 4,855 EWS houses by using prefab technology (having structural RCC members i.e. columns, beams & slabs all precast) in Narela & Rohini, Delhi (A Turnkey Project).

Sub Head 1) C/o 11,566 LIG & 2,276 EWS Houses i/c internal development & electrification at Sec G-7 & G-8 Narela Sec -34 & 35 Rohini (Group -I).

Agreement No 01/EE/ND-12/DDA/2013-14 dated 25th April 2013.

Alternate Site: C/o 5256 LIG (EWS-I) & 1160 EWS (EWS-II) houses i/c internal development electrification in pocket VI & VII at sector G7-G8 Narela.

Sub Head :- 2) C/o 13,094 LIG & 2,579 EWS Houses i/c internal development & electrification at Sec G-2 & G-6, G-3 & G-4 Narela (Group -II).

Agreement No. 02/EE/ND-12/DDA/2013-14 dated 25th April 2013.

Alternate Site: C/o 6511 LIG (EWS-I) & 1420 EWS (EWS-II) houses i/c internal development electrification in pocket XI at sector G7-G8 Narela.

The Agenda Note submitted on table by CE(North Zone) vide file Nos. F.56(28)HC/ND-12/DDA/Pt./1274 & F.2(1196)/ND-9//DDA/1386 dated 7.11.2016 and was presented by CE (NZ).

(6)

CE (NZ) informed that M/s. B. G. Shirke Constriction Technology Pvt. LTd. has been awarded alternative housing pockets VI,VII & XI at sector G7 G8 Narela with the approval of then VC DDA vide order dated 20.11.2014 in the file and subsequently by WAB in the 6th WAB Meeting held on 09.09.2016 . in lieu of 5 suspended housing pockets which could not be taken up due to STATUS QUO ordered by the **Hon`ble Supreme Court of India & High Court of Delhi.**

The alternate site had also been approved by the screening committee after modification in its 329th meeting held on 10.04.2015. CE(NZ) further explained that financial burden of providing alternative pockets to the agency as follows.

- a.) The original tendered cost of two groups.....Rs 2624.28 crores
- b.) Reduction in scope of workRs. 845.51 crores
- c.) Cost of ongoing works.....Rs. 1778.78 crores
- d.) Cost of executing the works on the alternative sites.....Rs. 1284.55 crores
- e.) Cost of two groups including alternative pockets (c+d).....Rs. 3063.33 crores

The number of Dwelling Units (DU) to be constructed in alternative pockets & ongoing pockets were 27844 LIG DUs and 6204 EWS DUs against the total No. of dues taken in awarded work as 24660 LIG and 4855 EWS.

CE(NZ) further informed that the file was also send to finance dpt. Of DDA to examine the payment of differential mobilization advances to agency . CAO/DDA is of the opinion that EM , DDA may decide to do it on its own or take it to WAB . Accordingly matter is brought into notice of WAB. Copy of the notice side of file is also enclosed and further clarified by CE(NZ) that the mobilization advanced will be paid to agency on interest charged @ 10% which is higher by 2.5% of the interest developed on fixed deposit that DDA gets from Nationalized/Scheduled banks causing financial gain to DDA.

The agency M/S B.G. Shirke Construction Technology has requested for mobilization advance for mobilization of these alternate housing pockets VI, VII & XI at sector G7& G8, Narela as per clause10 B (ii) of the agreement, as re-produced below:-

Mobilization advance not exceeding 10% of the tendered value may be given, if requested by the contractor in writing within one month of the order to commence the work. Such advance shall be in two installments to be determined by the Engineer-in-Charge at his sole discretion. The first installment of such advance shall be released by the Engineer-in-Charge to the contractor on a request

MB

(7)

made by the contractor to the Engineer-in-Charge in this behalf. The second installments shall be released by the Engineer-in-Charge only after the contractor furnishes a proof of the satisfactory utilization of the earlier installment to the entire satisfaction of the Engineer-in-Charge.

Before any installment of advance is released, the contractor shall execute a Bank Guarantee Bond from Scheduled Nationalized Bank for the amount 110% of advance & valid for the contract period. This shall be kept renewed from time to time to cover the balance amount and likely period of complete recovery, together with interest.

Provided always that the provision of clause 10B (ii) shall be applicable only when so provided in the schedule F

CE(NZ) informed that he request of the agency M/s. B. G. Shirke is justified & Recommended , as the agency has also to re-mobilize for setting up of Pre-casting yard, site offices, stores, godowns, Labour hutments , machinery etc. for taking up the work of alternative housing pockets VI, VII, XI at sector G6 G7, Narela allotted to him at this later stage.

CE(NZ) informed that as per clause 10 B (ii) of the agreement mobilization advance of Rs.254.94 Cr (Rs. 118.16 Cr for Group-I and Rs. 136.78 Cr. for Group- II) was paid to agency on start the work and additional amount of Rs. 51.38 Cr. towards mobilization advance has now been requested by the agency after allotment of alternative site under housing pocket- VI, VII & XI at Sector G7 & G8 Narela. Thus total mobilization advance will be Rs. 306.32 Cr. i.e. 11.67 % of the tender amount against maximum limit of 10 % of agreement amount.

The above issue was discussed and deliberate. EM., DDA was of the view that as alternative pockets were allotted to the Agency with the prior approval of VC, DDA and subsequently matter was brought in WAB meeting held on 09.09.2016, also increase in scope of work as a result of these two decision so therefore, WAB may also decide the above issue.

CE(NZ) submitted that since the scope of the work was enhanced , therefore, the case was brought to the notice of the WAB. The same was noted by WAB. The Board agreed that the payment of additional mobilization advance falls within the purview of EM/DDA hence appropriate action be taken at his level as per the laid down procedures.

WAB

(8)

5. WAB agenda note Placed on table by CE(North Zone)

Name of Work :C/o 1 6,96 EWS &280 CAT-II houses on design & built in PKT-1A, Sector A-1 to A-4 Narela i/c internal development & electrification. (A Turnkey Project)

Agreement No 61/EE/ND-4/DDA/2013-14 & 11/EE/ND-5/DDA/14-15.

Estt. Cost Rs.330.56 Crores.

Tender Amount Rs. 304.05 Crores.

Negotiated & Accepted Amt Rs. 288.85 Crores.

Name of Work : C/o2561 EWS &416 CAT-II houses on design & built in PKT-1B, Sector A-1 to A-4 Narela i/c internal development & electrification. (A Turnkey Project)

Agreement No : 62/EE/ND-4/DDA/2013-14

EstimatedCost:- Rs.570.37 Crores.

Tendered Amount:- Rs. 524.92 Crores.

Negotiated & Accepted Amount:- Rs. 498.68 Crores.

Agency:- M/s. B. G. Shirke const. Tech. Pvt. Ltd.

The agenda note was placed on table by CE(North Zone) and was presented by CE (NZ).

CE(NZ) informed that the above works are in progress and present progress is around 38%.

Two sample flats of EWS category were completed and are ready . One of the sample flat of EWS was completed with the specification of the contract agreement and the other flat was completed with improved specifications& extra items as provided in G6 & G7 projects, to provide better / enriched specifications to public as provided in ongoing project at G6 & G7, so as to have public attraction during allotment of houses and to impart better quality and low maintenance in future.

Since the work has been awarded by the WAB, the change in specification for these items is submitted to bring in the notice of WAB. The list of the items as per provision of the agreement and as purposed to be substituted/additional items is enclosed which was presented in a power point presentation showing the flat as per original provision of agreement and with enhanced specification by CE (NZ). The matter regarding delegation of power to the officer of Engineering-wing of DDA was also discussed and it was clarified that as per office memorandum issued by CE(HQ) Vide No. F. 5(287) 2011-12/PC/DDA/Pt./24 dated 27.01.2012 (copy enclosed) in which CE has been delegated financial power for sanctioning of extra, substituted item/deviation items as follows

a.) Extra/ substituted items 30% of agreement amount or 1000 lacs whichever is less

b.) Deviation 30% of agreement amount or 1000 lacs whichever is less.



(9)

After due discussion and deliberation, the board was of the opinion that approval of extra items and deviations falls under the competency of CE(NZ). Responsibility of reasonability of quantities and rate shall rest with the CE (NZ). Therefore, CE(NZ) may take appropriate action as per provision of agreement.

6.WAB agenda item No.436/CE(Rohini)/2016-17

Name of Work : Development of 153.55 Hect. of land in Sector-36(Part)phase-V Rohini.

Sub Head : C/o 30m ROW roads with cement concrete rigid pavement in Sector-36(Part) Rohini V.

The Agenda note submitted by CE(R) vide no. FO/CE(R)/11(584)2016-17/DDA/ dated 30.11.2016. Was presented by CE(R) on table with the recommendation for awarding the work to the lowest agency M/s Parmod Kumar Goel. Chief Engineer (Rohini) stated that on line e-tender for the work were re-invited by EE/RPD-6 giving wide Publicity through new paper and also circulating the N.I.T. among various contractors Association and other with the last date of submission of tender and opening of financial bid on 25.10.2016 and 21.10.2016 respectively. As per CE(R), 5(Five) nos agencies has submitted their offers and all are found technically qualified.

On the query from the board that why so less response are received in this tender, CE(R) clarified that this is a third call, in 1st call of tender opened on 02.07.2012 and works was awarded to M/s Ved Prakash Mittal and sons on 29.11.2012 and 2nd call of tender was on 24.07.2015 and work was award to M/s Ajab Singh & Company after due acceptance of WAB vide WAB agenda in 2015. The work could not start due to Barwala Villagers agitation and non-providing police force by Delhi Police. In view of the circumstances explained above the most of contractors are hesitated to participation in the tendering for this particular area. He further stated that the rates quoted by lowest agency is 1.07 % less from the contractor whom work was awarded in the 2nd call so in that way, we are saving money.

MA

(10)

The CE(Rohini) further added that the approval of lowest tenderer M/s Pramod Kumar Goel falls under the Competency of WAB because provisions of sub head in A/A & E/S of works is more than the financial power delegated to the Chief Engineer (R). Hence tender of M/s Pramod Kumar Goel is recommended for acceptance as the quoted rate are 15.13% above the estimated cost which are based on DSR-2007. The work is time bound as per the directions of the Hon'ble Supreme Court under SLP No 16385-88 of 2012 (Rahul Gupta v/s DDA and others) vide order dated 10.03.2015, 28.01.2016 and 18.10.2016. Police force is available for execution of work w.e.f. 08.11.2016.

After due discussion and considering above aspect information and clarification as placed by CE (Rohini). Board decided to accept the recommendation of CE (Rohini) for acceptance of the work of M/s Pramod Kumar Goel on his quoted rates 15.13% above the estimated cost put to N.I.T. and further directed that no time extensions will be given beyond the stipulated time taken in the tender. Time extension if any shall be decided by WAB.

Sd/-
(Santosh Kumar)
Chief Account Officer

Sd/-
(D.P Singh)
CE (QAC)

Sd/-
(Mahesh Kumar)
EM/DDA

Sd/-
(Santosh Kumar)
FM/DDA

Sd/-
(Udai Pratap Singh)
Vice Chairman/DDA

