

DELHI DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

(EM'S OFFICE)

NO: EM.1 (1e) 95/Vol. I/1037

Dated: 2.6.95

CIRCULAR NO. 450

SUB: PRECEDENCE OF CPWD SPECIFICATIONS OVER ISI CODE
WHERE DISCREPANCY IN THE SPECIFICATIONS FOR AN
ITEM EXISTS BETWEEN THE TWO.

During one of the inspections of a work in DDA the CTE's Organisation had observed that in a particular item the CPWD specifications were different from the corresponding specifications contained in the relevant ISI Code. It had further been found that the item had been got executed at site as per the ISI Specifications. It was felt by the CTE that as per the contractual provision contained in sub-para 3.1.1. of 'Additional Conditions : General Rules and Specification' which forms part of the contract, the field staff was supposed to follow the following sequence in adopting the relevant specifications for execution of a particular item :

"The entire work shall be done as per specifications 1977 CPWD Vol.I with upto date correction slips 1 toand CPWD specification 1977 Vol.II with upto date C.S. 1 toon the date of receipt of tender. However in case of any discrepancy in the description of any item as given in the schedule of quantities appended with the tender, and the specifications relating to the relevant item as per specifications-77 Vol.I & Specification-77 Vol.II with due correction slips specified above, the former shall prevail. If the specifications for any items are not available in the CPWD Specifications referred above, relevant ISI Specifications shall be followed. In case ISI Specifications are also not available, the decision of the Engineer-in-Charge shall be final".

In view of the clear provision of the Agreement, as quoted above, the field staff had erred by following the ISI specifications for the execution of the item instead of the relevant CPWD specifications, which, being available,

Contd....2/-

should have taken precedence over the ISI Specificatic,
Needless to say that by not following a proper
sequence of priority as stipulated in the agreement,
contractual implications crop-up unnecessarily.

It is, therefore, enjoined upon all
engineers to carefully read all the relevant provisions
of a particular contract and the same should be
strictly followed in letter and spirit as well as the
sequence of preference prescribed therein.

S. M. Hans
30.5.95
(R.L. HANS)
ENGINEER MEMBER
24/5

COPY TO:

1. All CEs i/c QC, Elect. & Design.
2. All SEs i/c QC, Elect. & Design.
3. All EEs i/c QC, Elect. & Design.
4. Director (Hort.) North & South.
5. All Dy. Director (Hort.), DDA.
6. File No. EM.8(94)88/CTE/WZ.