

DELHI DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY
E.M.'S OFFICE.

NO. EM1(10)94/Vol-I/14274

dt. 8.11.94

CIRCULAR NO. 436.

Sub: CRITICAL EXAMINATION OF THE ROLE OF SUPERVISING
STAFF BEFORE SANCTION OF REDUCTION ITEM STATEMENTS.

During inspection of one of the works, the CTE had pointed out that the competent authority should not pass reduction item statements and there-by accept the sub-standard material/workmanship in a routine manner. All such cases must be critically examined and responsibility must be fixed for slackness in supervision, where ever, warranted.

It is, therefore, enjoined upon all engineers that all out efforts must be made to ensure that any sub-standard material or workmanship is not accepted as a routine. In case of totally unavoidable circumstances, the same should only be accepted with the prior approval of the competent authority. The competent authority should also not approve reduction item statements for use of sub-standard materials/workmanship as a routine. They should critically examine all such cases and obtain explanations from the concerned JEs/AEs/EEs as to why the work could not be initially executed as per the specifications. Their versions should be examined in detail to assess if there had been any slackness on their part and if so, proper action should be initiated against the defaulting officers for dereliction of duty. It is only after satisfying itself from all these angles, that the competent authority should approve the reduction item statement.


(H.D. SHARMA)
ENGINEER MEMBER.

ALL CEs i/c. CE(ELECT.) & CE (QC).

ALL SEs i/c. SEs(ELECT.) & SE (QC).

DIRECTOR(HORT.) NORTH & SOUTH.

ALL EEs i/c. EE(ELECT) & EE (QC).

ALL DY. DIRECTOR (HORT.)

Copy to:-

1. VC, DDA, for kind information.
2. E.O.-I, II & III, DDA for information.
3. File NO. EMB(119)88/CTE/WZ/


ENGINEER MEMBER.